AMENDED AGENDA

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call and Determination of a Quorum

III. Public Testimony

Individuals may submit testimony in advance of the meeting via email to reapportionment@hawaii.gov or by mail addressed to the 2021 Reapportionment Commission, c/o Scott Nago, Secretary, 802 Lehua Avenue, Pearl City, Hawaii 96782. Individuals interested in signing up to provide oral testimony at the meeting may submit their name, email, and phone number to reapportionment@hawaii.gov. Individuals may provide oral testimony at the meeting via the above-listed video conferencing link or by calling the above-listed telephone number.

Testimony presented during the meeting will be limited to three minutes each.
IV. Reports by the Apportionment Advisory Councils

V. Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of October 28, 2021

VI. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission’s September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting

VII. Presentation on the Conduct of the Public Hearings by the Reapportionment Project Office

VIII. Presentation of Proposed Final Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Plans by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group

IX. Adjournment

If audiovisual communication cannot be maintained with all Commissioners participating in the meeting, the meeting shall be automatically recessed for up to thirty (30) minutes to allow staff to attempt to restore communication.

If audiovisual communication with all participating Commissioners can be restored, the meeting will be reconvened. If, however, audiovisual communication cannot be restored, then the meeting may be reconvened with the audio-only communication using the above-listed telephone number. Any nonconfidential visual aids brought to the meeting by Commissioners or as part of a scheduled presentation will be made publicly available on the Office of Elections website within fifteen (15) minutes after audio-only communication is established.

If it is not possible to reconvene the meeting within thirty (30) minutes after an interruption of communication and the Commission has not provided reasonable notice to the public as to how the meeting will be continued at an alternative data and time, then the meeting shall be automatically terminated.

No Commission action shall be invalid if the Commission’s good faith efforts to implement remote technology for public observations and comments do not work.

IF YOU REQUIRE SPECIAL ASSISTANCE OR AUXILIARY AIDS AND/OR SERVICES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS OF THE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ELECTIONS AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE HEARING SO ARRANGEMENTS CAN BE MADE; FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CALL THE OFFICE OF ELECTIONS AT 453-8683 OR 1-800-442-8683 FROM THE NEIGHBOR ISLANDS.
III. PUBLIC TESTIMONY
Please do NOT divide Manoa into 2 districts! That is unconscionably wrong! Issues within Manoa are issues that apply to ALL OF MANOA!!

Access into and out of Manoa are few and need to be addressed by all of Manoa. If the redistricting goes through, this would certainly need to be addressed by both districts, causing duplication of effort or coordination of effort - WHY do that? WHY break up Manoa at all?

The above issue is just one example! PLEASE SHOW SOME INTELLIGENCE and do not break up Manoa!!!!

Carole Hiyama
Manoa resident
Jeffrey the Barak 1248 Kahili St, Kailua, HI 96734, 808-679-9927

To remove densely populated Enchanted Lake and adjacent neighborhoods from District 51 and replace it with a relatively empty but large portion of District 17 would be a great shame, simply because of all the hard work invested in the people affected, by representative Lisa Marten (and her predecessor).

All the ongoing projects that Rep. Marten is involved in, to positively serve Pōhakupu, Kūkanono, Enchanted Lake would be left without their current representative, who would then be tasked with representing a mere handful of populated streets located east of Hawai'i Kai on the other side of the Ko'olau range.
Aloha,
Just a brief message in support of the "Hicks" plan as the most sensible for reapportionment. It's important, and simply logical, to keep neighborhoods in the same geographical communities together.

Mahalo,
Carol Abe
Pohakupu
Kailua 96734
Aloha kakou,

I am submitting testimony in STRONG OPPOSITION to the proposed redistricting. The proposed changed will literally split Kailua in half. This doesn't make sense to me. Also it places a significant coastal responsibility on a single representative. Attaching the wraparound if the coast from Waimanalo to Sandy Beach places a huge burden of responsibility for coastal management on one representative with a small number of residents.

I urge reconsideration of this map to maintain the integrity of the Kailua township.

Teresa Parsons
Homeowner
Chair Mugiishi and the Reapportionment Commission:

My name is Matty Anzalone and I am submitting testimony in support of the draft map proposed by the Commission on October 28, 2021.

I’ve lived in Kailua my entire life. I believe that the Commission’s map is good for the Windward side and Kailua. I support the proposed changes to the windward side and Kailua.

Thank you,
Matty Anzalone
Kailua Resident
Aloha,

My name is Tehani Malterre and I am a Maunalua (Hawaii Kai) resident. I would like to testify in my personal capacity AGAINST the proposed reapportionment plan due to the fact that it would break up integral parts of numerous communities including Hawaii Kai. Additionally, it would separate community issues from the residents and neighborhood boards who have been addressing them for years, break up multiple ahupua’a, and overall cause a lot of unnecessary confusion and issues for local residents. This reapportionment plan is not in the best interest of local residents and should not be implemented.

Mahalo for your time
Tehani
Aloha Members,

I write to you in support of the Hicks Reapportionment Plan. Please adopt it.

Sincerely,
Neil Frazer

112 Haokea Drive
Kailua, HI 96734
Dear Sirs,

I am NOT in favor of mixing East and Windward Oahu in what has been put forth in the reapportionment plan. It makes no sense and is harmful to our community.

I support the Hicks Plan which keeps Hawaii Kai in a single district. Makapuu must be maintained as the historic and natural geographic dividing line between the districts. Please do not separate the coastal areas of Hawaii Kai from the inland areas.

Thank you,

Mardi LaPrade
Aloha kākou Reapportionment Commissioners,

My name is Paul Kuykendall and I live in Puna Makai. As a resident of Hawai‘i Island I am concerned about the proposed State House Redistricting maps for our island because multiple communities are being divided across numerous districts. I like the alternative proposed & revised "House, Community Plan" submitted by Ralph Boyea because it keeps subdivisions together in more rural areas and also does a good job of keeping the urban areas of our island less split apart over numerous House Districts. I also like the fact that the "House, Community Plan" provides smaller deviations in population among the House Districts. I would like to add that this plan was created with the 7 current House Districts and accompanying population numbers, I urge the Commission to reconsider that allotment moving forward. Based on the 123,000 updated military personnel number provided recently by PACOM our island deserves an additional representative in the State House. We are asking that you please take into account these new numbers and recalculate your extractions.

Please support this proposed plan moving forward as it seems to be the best option at the moment.

Mahalo,
Paul Kuykendall
Farmer
Puna Makai
Aloha,
I support Bill Hicks’ reapportionment plan.
Combining Hawaii Kai with Waimanalo makes no sense. The Hicks’ plan keeps neighborhoods intact.
Mahalo,
Eric Ching
570 Ululani St.
Kailua, HI 96734

Sent from Eric's phone.
Aloha kākou Reapportionment Commissioners,

My name is Valerie Barber and I live in Kapoho. As a resident of Hawai‘i Island I am concerned about the proposed State House Redistricting maps for our island because multiple communities are being divided across numerous districts. I like the alternative proposed & revised "House, Community Plan" submitted by Ralph Boyea because it keeps subdivisions together in more rural areas and also does a good job of keeping the urban areas of our island less split apart over numerous House Districts. I also like the fact that the "House, Community Plan" provides smaller deviations in population among the House Districts.

I would like to add that this plan was created with the 7 current House Districts and accompanying population numbers, I urge the Commission to reconsider that allotment moving forward. Based on the 123,000 updated military personnel number provided recently by PACOM our island deserves an additional representative in the State House. We are asking that you please take into account these new numbers and recalculate your extractions.

Please support this proposed plan moving forward as it seems to be the best option at the moment.

Sincerely,
Valerie Barber
Aloha;

I request that the written statement below be included in the Public Hearing written testimony for the Reapportionment Commission's public hearing on December 22, 2021. Please also add me to the list to present oral testimony. Thank you very much.

The commission needs to seriously consider the input of the community and opposition to the technical group's redistricting map of Hawaii Kai.

Multiple alternate plans have been submitted that provide thoughtful revisions, reflecting the community’s input. All of the alternate plans submitted use Makapu’u point and so should the technical group use this fixed point to redraw the maps into a more compact unit that keeps the community intact and follows the Hawaii Constitution.

Numerous neighborhood boards across Oahu, representing hundreds of thousands of residents, have indicated their opposition to the initial redistricting maps approved by the commission. Hawaii Kai Neighborhood Board is one of them.

There is extreme distress of the Hawaii Kai community over the commission’s initial proposal to fragment the Hawaii Kai community, separating so many neighborhoods from East Honolulu and placing them into House District 51 and Senate District 25. Please acknowledge thousands of voices of the community members, and redraw the maps, using Makapu’u point as a fixed boundary to keep our communities whole. Thank you for the opportunity to again provide testimony

--
William Sims
The Hicks Plan meets all, the state Laws REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION PLANS WILL NOT!

The Commission will review the distribution of the population and re-draw the political districts to ensure that citizens are equally represented. No district shall be so drawn as to unduly favoring a person or political faction. However, Hicks' plan is not without political consequences. Starting the House and Senate districts at Makapuu would push Windward Oahu districts northward while Central Oahu districts would need to move south. The result is a redrawing of lines that would pit three incumbent senators on Oahu against their colleagues. Hicks said he did not take into account those kinds of political matchups when he drew his plans. The Law said he should not bring political consequences into his plan.

The Law

- Except in the case of districts encompassing more than one island, districts shall be contiguous.
- Insofar as practicable, districts shall be compact.
- Where possible, district lines shall follow permanent and easily recognized features, such as streets, streams, and clear geographical features, and, when practicable, shall coincide with census tract boundaries.
- Where practicable, representative districts shall be wholly included within senatorial districts
- Not more than four members shall be elected from any district.
- Where practicable, submergence of an area in a larger district wherein substantially different socio-economic interests predominate shall be avoided.

[Add Const Con
Kailua resident Bill Hicks’ proposal to redraw legislative districts in the state seems to check all the boxes for a good redistricting plan.

Hicks’ initial goal was to take Portlock out of a House and Senate district that represents Waimanalo and Kailua, where he lives. The commission’s proposals would lump Portlock in with those two neighborhoods.

Hicks redrew every Oahu legislative district and was surprised at the results.

Officials in charge of reapportionment this year have set a target population of 27,000 for each House district and 55,000 in each Senate district. Hicks’s plan does a better job of meeting those population targets, with a total deviation between districts of about 2% compared to the commission’s 7.9% deviation in a plan that won preliminary approval in October.

Maybe we should be thinking about this part of the Hawaii State Law (as in a class-action lawsuit)

MANDAMUS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW Section 10. Original jurisdiction is vested in the supreme court of the State to be exercised on the petition of any registered voter whereby it may compel, by mandamus or otherwise, the appropriate person or persons to perform their duty or to correct any error made in a reapportionment plan, or it may take such other action to effectuate the purposes of this section as it may deem appropriate. Any such petition shall be filed within forty-five days of the date specified for any duty or within forty-five days after the filing of a reapportionment plan. [Add Const Con 1978 and election Nov 7, 1978] Think what an injunction would do to our 2022 ELECTIONS, STILL THINKING ABOUT getting reelected? Remember the omicron variant, covid 19, Delta variant, and SARS-CoV-2, and our Hawai‘i Supreme Court backlog?

2022 ELECTIONS

Primary: Saturday, August 13, 2022
Voters receive their ballots in the mail for the Primary Election by July 26, 2022

General: Tuesday, November 8, 2022
Voters receive their ballots in the mail for the General Election by October 21, 2022

In closing start thinking about the people of Hawaii! Start thinking about the Hawaiian people in Kailua, Waimanalo!
Gary E. Weller Kailua Neighborhood Board Member, past Hawaii Kai Neighborhood Board Member

“...The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated.” – Mahatma Gandhi

Gary E. Weller
President
Mana Ikaika, Inc.
Kailua Data Center
221 Iliaina Street Kailua, Hawaii 96734 USA
wellerge@manaikaika.com
Work:808-253-0675
Fax:808-2549693
Cell:808-203-8217

Privileged/Confidential Information may be contained in this message. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to such person), you may not copy or deliver this message to anyone. In such a case, you should destroy this message and kindly notify the sender by reply email. Please advise immediately if you or your employer do not consent to Internet email for messages of this kind. Opinions, conclusions, and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of my firm shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by it.
Revisions needed on reapportionment plan

By Bill Hicks

Proper apportionment of our population into representative districts is one of the cornerstone foundations of our democracy. Our Hawaii Constitution enumerates how apportionment shall occur, using a bipartisan commission following sensible guidelines. Improper apportionment for specific political purposes can result in gerrymandering, polarization, and the silencing of certain voices.

Reapportionment occurs with Census, and the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission is currently deep in the process of establishing new legislative districts that will last until 2022.

On Oct. 28, the commission approved a plan for public comment. This plan has significant deficiencies.

Districts should be as nearly equal in population as practicable so that one person’s vote in a legislative election is worth as much as another’s. The commission’s plans have total population deviations of 8.54% (House) and 7.93% (Senate). Note that the goal for federal districts is only 1%. Makapuu Point is the traditional boundary between East Oahu and Windward Oahu districts. The commission’s state House plan mixes East Oahu and Windward Oahu neighborhoods into one district stretching from Lanikai to Portlock, diluting the voices of these neighborhoods.

By placing much of Hawaii Kai’s population into a Windward district, the commission’s plan pushes East Oahu district boundaries much farther west than would otherwise be necessary, splitting Hawaii Kai, splitting Manoa Valley, and dividing the current Diamond Head area District 19 among four different districts.

On Oct. 28, commissioners challenged the public to use their interactive maps to propose alternative plans for consideration. Using the current district boundaries as the starting point, I tried to keep districts as compact and contiguous as practicable, as the Constitution directs. My findings:

- East Oahu changes can be manageable: Hawaii Kai and Manoa Valley don’t have to be split, and District 19 doesn’t have to be eliminated.
- One district must shift to Lee Ward Oahu because of population changes, but rather than making the Diamond Head area worse, it’s possible that choosing a different district could actually be used to fix other existing problems, such as fixing the current symbiotic District 31/32 Salt Lake area.
- Elsewhere, rather than being split, Mililani Town could be a single district.
- The House district total population deviation could be lowered from 8.54% to a much improved 2.58%, with 25 of 35 Oahu districts less than 1% deviation versus only six districts in the commission’s plan. The Senate districts could be similarly improved from 7.93% deviation to 2.02%, with 16 of 17 Oahu districts less than 1% deviation versus only three districts in the commission’s plan.

I’m not alone. Of the five citizen-generated alternative House plans and three citizen-generated alternative Senate plans submitted, all eight plans use Makapuu Point as a natural boundary and all eight plans have a lower population deviation than the commission’s plan.

So far 10 neighborhood boards representing about 300,000 Oahu residents have reviewed the commission’s plan and passed resolutions opposed to that plan.

Public testimony presented at commission meetings and public hearings has been about 90% opposed to the commission’s plan.

What will the commission do with this public feedback? No one knows yet. There has been no interaction with neighborhood boards, no questions asked of testifiers at hearings, and no hint of what the commission is thinking.

The public has made it crystal clear to the commission that significant changes can and should be made to its plan. The commission is expected to lay out any revised plan at a meeting on Dec. 22 and give approval to the final plan as early as Jan. 3. A rally will be held at the state Capitol at noon on Thursday, Dec. 23.
Aloha Reapportionment Commission,
On behalf of the Diamond Head/Kapahulu/St. Louis Heights Neighborhood Board No. 5, attached is our Board’s resolution, which is in line with the “HICKS plan” that should be followed in terms of specific maps, and we oppose the maps that have been originally proposed.
Please honor your professional obligation and look out for the people of the State, not politicians, and use the HICKS plan or an alternative that puts the public’s interest first. Gerrymandering is not acceptable in our State, and the HICKS plan proves that lines can be drawn that do not raise questions as to the motives of those drawing the lines.
Thank you for your consideration.
Andrew Salenger, Chair of NB5
RESOLUTION RE: SUPPORT FOR PROPOSED RESOLUTION FROM NEIGHBORHOOD BOARD NO. 8 CHAIR OPPOSING THE 2021 REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION SUGGESTIONS

Strongly Urging the Hawai‘i Reapportionment Commission to Reject the Current Proposed Reapportionment Plan for the State Legislature

WHEREAS, a fundamental tenet of elections in the United States is the fair apportionment of representation across a given population, and the United States and Hawai‘i governments each have legislative bodies with legislators elected to represent individual districts that have an approximate equal number of citizens; and

WHEREAS, the process to ensure that districts have an approximate number of equal citizens in line with the changing population of a place is called reapportionment or redistricting; and

WHEREAS, article IV, section 2, of the Hawai‘i State Constitution calls for and explains the procedures for the convening of the Hawai‘i Reapportionment Commission, which is tasked every ten years with creating a reapportionment plan for the State Legislature and a reapportionment plan for United States congressional districts; and

WHEREAS, in creating reapportionment plans, the Hawai‘i Reapportionment Commission’s main consideration is the number of people living in an area, but it also considers issues such as natural and manmade physical boundaries separating communities, contiguousness of connected communities, and the issues shared by connected communities; and

WHEREAS, given its many considerations and a short window in which the State Constitution requires reapportionment plans to be finalized, it is understood that creating reapportionment plans is an imperfect and difficult process; and

WHEREAS, on October 28, 2021, the Hawai‘i Reapportionment Commission voted to accept a proposed reapportionment plan for the State Legislature; and

WHEREAS, due to significant increases in population in leeward of O‘ahu and in Kaka‘ako, the proposed redistricting plan for O‘ahu sees many existing O‘ahu Legislative districts being redrawn entirely; and

WHEREAS, in particular, urban and east Honolulu districts are being significantly redrawn or combined; and

WHEREAS, the proposed reapportionment plan for the State Legislature creates House District 21, which covers the vast majority of the McCully-Mō‘ili‘ili neighborhood and the Diamond Head neighborhood;

WHEREAS, these neighborhoods, as drawn on the current maps, are only connected by the Ala Wai Golf course, which is not residential;

WHEREAS, additionally, the proposed reapportionment plan leaves the McCully-Mō‘ili‘ili neighbors who live along the Ala Wai Canal to be included with the representation for Waikīkī instead of with the rest of McCully-Mō‘ili‘ili; and

WHEREAS, for the previous decade, McCully-Mō‘ili‘ili has had four State Representative districts that overlap with our neighborhood; and
WHEREAS, the issues facing the neighborhoods with which McCully-Mōʻiliʻili shares State Representatives are similar to the issues facing or directly impacting McCully-Mōʻiliʻili; and

WHEREAS, these neighborhoods include Mānoa, Makiki, Ala Moana, and Kapahulu; and

WHEREAS, the issues that face McCully-Mōʻiliʻili are varied from and are not immediately impacted by the issues facing the Diamond Head neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, neither McCully-Mōʻiliʻili nor Diamond Head will be best served by a Representative who has to consider the varied interests of our two distinct neighborhoods that are not physically contiguous through residential property; and

WHEREAS, the Hawaiʻi Reapportionment Commission will be hosting a series of public meetings during November and December 2021, and January 2022, to take testimony on and finalize its reapportionment plans; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED that the McCully-Mōʻiliʻili Neighborhood Board No. 8 strongly urges the Hawaiʻi Reapportionment Commission to reject the proposed reapportionment plan for the State Legislature adopted on October 28, 2021; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Hawaiʻi Reapportionment Commission is strongly urged to reject any reapportionment plan for the State Legislature that relies on using the Ala Wai Golf Course or any non-residential property to make a contiguous district; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Hawaiʻi Reapportionment Commission is strongly urged to keep as much of the McCully-Mōʻiliʻili neighborhood represented by a single State Senator and single State Representative as practical or Constitutionally allowed; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, if the McCully-Mōʻiliʻili neighborhood must be combined with other neighborhoods to meet Constitutional requirements, the Hawaiʻi Reapportionment Commission is strongly urged to only combine the McCully-Mōʻiliʻili with immediately adjacent neighborhoods that face the same issues as or directly McCully-Mōʻiliʻili; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the Chair and Commissioners of the Hawaiʻi Reapportionment Commission and the Chief Elections Officer of the State of Hawaii.

The Diamond Head / Kapahulu / St. Louis Heights Neighborhood Board No. 5 approved and adopted this resolution at its Thursday, November 9, 2021 Regular Board meeting, by unanimous consent 11-0-0 (Aye: Allen, Hasina, Narita, Beutel, Kealoha Lindsey, Murakawa, Peralto, West, Salenger, Matson, Wong; Nay: None; Abstain: None)
Hi Lindon,
I am forwarding your email to the State Reapportionment Commission.
Thank you.
Doris

Doris Lam
Office of the City Clerk, Elections Division
City and County of Honolulu
530 South King Street, Rm 100
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Phone: (808) 768-9234
Mobile: (808) 265-1293
Email: doris.lam@honolulu.gov

Aloha,
I am distributing #6 Palolo Neighborhood Board’s Resolution regarding redistricting as requested. Please be advised and happy holidays!

Mahalo nui,
Lindon
RESOLUTION CONCERNING THE REAPPORTIONMENT OF HOUSE AND SENATE DISTRICTS

WHEREAS Hawai‘i state law directs the State of Hawai‘i Reapportionment Commission (“Commission”) to redraw, if needed, district boundaries in response to changes in population identified by the decennial United States Census in order to ensure that residents are equally represented; and

WHEREAS a “district” is the geographical area whose residents are represented by one member of the Hawaii State Legislature; and

WHEREAS HRS, section 25-2(b) lists the criteria by which the Commission will be guided in redrawing the boundaries, and among the criteria are these: “(3) In so far as practicable, districts shall be compact” and “(4) Where possible, district lines shall follow permanent and easily recognized features such as streets, streams, and clear geographical features”; and

WHEREAS, the maps as proposed by the Commission are wildly divergent in some areas on Oahu and include new districts that do not adhere consistently to the criteria as laid out in HRS 25-2(b), some new districts appear to be well below the resident number goal, while others are well above; and

WHEREAS, several news stories, commentaries, and testimonies from individuals, neighborhood board members, political science academics, and non-partisan government watchdog organizations have raised concerns about gerrymandering in the Kaimuki, Kapahulu, Diamond Head, and Waialae areas – with districts drawn in ways that appear to favor a person or political faction and/or to punish some incumbents; and

WHEREAS, it appears the Commission has radically under extracted non-resident military from the total population count, thus rendering the plan as proposed by the Commission is unconstitutional and may be subject to legal challenges, such as was the case in 2011, thereby threatening the timely completion of a legally acceptable plan; and

WHEREAS, the proposed House and Senate district boundaries include significant shortcomings, such as mixing East Oahu and Windward Oahu communities into single districts, dividing Mānoa Valley into two districts, dividing Mililani Town into multiple districts, and including a relatively high population deviation between districts of about 8%; and

WHEREAS, alternative redistricting plans currently shared with the Commission do a far better job of redrawing the maps in a way that meets the legal criteria, better retains historical communities, and do so without large differences in population between proposed districts; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED that the Reapportionment Commission is strongly urged to use the alternative “Hicks Plan,” or some other similarly devised plan, instead of the Commission’s proposed plan as the baseline for developing legislative district boundaries; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the State of Hawai‘i Reapportionment Commission, the Senate President, the Speaker of the House, Senator Les Ihara, Jr., Representative Jackson Sayama, and Councilmember Calvin Say.

The Palolo Neighborhood Board No.6 adopted this resolution at its Wednesday, December 8, 2021 regular Board meeting, by Unanimous Consent.

Joshua Frost, #6 Palolo Neighborhood Board Chair
Aloha Commissioners,

I live in Manoa Valley & we are a united, cohesive community. The idea of splitting us down the middle appears designed to dissipate our power. Our eastern half would be added to Palolo Valley, to our detriment. Similarly, our western half would be attached to Makiki. We can see no way in which we would benefit from the proposed reapportionment.

Retain our natural & historic boundaries. Do not divide Manoa Valley.

Respectfully,

Kathy Shimata
3453 Pawaina St
Honolulu 96822
Aloha Reapportionment Commission,

Having seen other redistricting maps I have revised and based on new submission I have concluded in supporting the (Revised) Mililani, Kalihi excursion redistricting map will have been the best option with keeping long standing communities intact and commonality in the districts. Having seen other redistricting maps, including the newly revised and submitted Mililani-Kalihi Excursion plan, I now conclude the Mililani-Kalihi Excursion plan is the best option for keeping long standing communities intact and maintaining commonality within the districts. I support this revised Mililani-Kalihi Excursion plan.

Kalihi Valley should not be cut in half as this is unfair to the Kalihi residents, many of whom many are immigrants not to mention, an area with numerous public housing complex(s) and people who need representation. These areas are predominantly blue-collar workers of Kalihi and Aiea. Mr. Hicks’ plan Mililani, Kalihi excursion presents the most fair and equitable delineation of district lines.

Kalihi Valley should not be cut in half as this is unfair to the Kalihi residents, many of whom many are immigrants not to mention, an area with numerous public housing complex(s) and people who need representation. These Areas are predominantly blue-collar workers of Kalihi and Aiea. Mr. Hicks’ Mililani, Kalihi Excursion plan is the best compromise with the least amount of population deviation. Kalihi Valley should not be cut in half as this would be unfair to the Kalihi residents, many of whom are immigrants reliant on numerous public housing complexes in the area, and people who need strong representation. The Kalihi and Aiea areas predominantly include blue-collar workers and cohesive district lines that keep people of similar backgrounds together using fair and equitable methods is especially important to achieving strong representation. Mr. Hicks’ Mililani-Kalihi Excursion plan offers the best compromise with the least amount of population deviation.

I understand that new lines must be drawn pursuant to the State constitution, however, please remember blue-collar working districts such as Kalihi and Aiea. Therefore, I support the Mililani, Kalihi excursion Redistricting map rather than Mr. Hick's map, we implore you to please not cut our community in half. I understand that new lines must be drawn pursuant to the State constitution, however, please remember blue-collar working districts such as Kalihi and Aiea. We implore you to please not cut our community in half. Therefore, I support the revised Mililani-Kalihi Excursion plan.

Speaking in my personal capacity,
Aloha-
I am in strong opposition of this ridiculous project.

This is a bad idea trying to squeeze in close to 500 units in our beautiful Kalama valley
That we call home.

Take your project somewhere else, we don’t want this in our back yard.

The height limit is ridiculous and the whole project is nothing more than a travesty that will change our valleys
traffic and overall peace and quiet.

Stop giving into these developers and their projects that are ruining our islands for nothing more than money.

I strongly oppose this project.

Thank you,
Kelly Oku
Kalama Valley Resident
809-358-4384
It is ridiculous to carve up the natural geographic area of Hawaii Kai in a reapportionment plan. The interests of Hawaii Kai should remain separate from other areas. Makes me wonder what behind the scene maneuvering is going on by special interest groups! This needs to be stopped for Hawaii Kai to remain autonomous.

Nikki Yasutake
How is diving our community going to be good for our community. Please consider the good of the people and not those with deep pockets that don’t need the money. We are a community that is better united than divided. Please do the right thing, there is no benefit to take parts of Kalama Valley to Waimanalo except for deceitful developers that are always trying to line their pockets and destroy our way of life.

Our community has a history of fighting developers and developments that are slid under the radar. If this is good to all concerned then why the cloak and dagger and secrecy. Please stop this development and please keep Kalama Valley united.

Mahalo,
Dave Mozdren
1266 Pihana Street
Honolulu, HI  96825

Sent from Mail for Windows
From: Brett Carter
To: OE.Elections.Reapportionment
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Redistricting portion of Kalama Valley and Queens Gate into Waimanalo District
Date: Saturday, December 18, 2021 11:18:00 AM

I am totally against the move to carve up Kalama Valley and push them with Waimanalo. This move leaves disjointed representation from the community in which those residents actually reside in.

Thank you,
Brett Carter
Kalama Valley

Sent from my iPhone
Senator Chang, Councilman Waters and Representative Ward

I write this as a voter and Kalama Valley resident that voted for you in the last election because of the promises you made to represent the people. I am very disappointed on what is going on. The first is the secrecy and deceptive way that negotiations are going on with the Kalama Valley Shopping Center. It is certainly the right of the property owner to sell the property, but rezoning is not their right. It should be the will of the people. The people that voted for you and live here do not want a 5 or 6 story retirement/senior/assisted living facility built here. Senator Chang, your constituents do not like this or the way it being handled. You have failed to come to any meetings and have been invisible on the subject. Councilman Waters where have you been? Your campaign indicated you are our voice, where the hell are you? You do not attend meetings or answer any questions. DO NOT ALLOW a zoning change to occur, your constituents that put you in office, despise this project and do not want it in our neighborhood. WE SAY NO TO REZONING TO ALLOW THIS PROJECT. I bought my house in Kalama Valley because of the view, quiet neighborhood and the lower crime rates. I don’t want to live next to a 5 or more story building. I DO NOT SUPPORT this construction. Again, I am stating what every resident next to this proposed site is saying, how about walking door to door in the neighborhood and ask them what they want. The reapportionment going on appears to be smoke and mirrors to take Kalama Valley out of Hawaii Kai….why because the Hawaii Kai neighborhood board does not support this project either. Do the research, this will not be affordable, stress the infrastructure more than it can handle, and create all kinds of issues. Do us a favor, do your jobs and represent the people. We should have a voice, you were elected to be that voice, so how about it? I am happy to take a phone call from either of you and discuss this. I DO NOT WANT THIS NEXT TO WHERE I LIVE. The other facilities currently in use are not even at capacity nor are they affordable…..why then another one? Profit for the builder and Kam Schools, that is why. If I wanted to live next to a complex like what is being proposed I would have moved into town. Senator Chang, how about doing your job? Answer our emails and questions, attend the board meetings. It appears you only care about a few things and Kalama Valley is not one of them after you were elected. The reapportionment proposal is ridiculous, but it is an effective way to silence the Hawaii Kai Neighborhood Board, put us in Waimanalo. Sure go ahead and we will have our voice at the next election. I know that all of Kalama Valley is watching how you all react to this issue. I personally voted for both of you, do not make me regret that choice. To Representative Gene Ward…where are you? What do you have to say about all this. You promise much, can you deliver? We are not seeing it. We DO NOT WANT THIS DEVELOPMENT BUILT IN KALAMA VALLEY. All of you elected officials need to remember it is we the people you work for, not an investor or developer. All eyes are on this… A failure to Kalama Valley will be a political failure. Choose wisely. Please feel free to contact me or give me a call I would love to discuss all of the aforementioned and then some. Bottom line is the people who entrusted you do not desire or what this project or change in zoning. Do the right thing and support us by being our voice.

Robert McCarthy
808-286-9280

Sent from my iPad
We will be testifying rest of meetings.

Nobody got the Id for meetings and was told "no public testimony but there was public testimony."

District 5 can not lose our entire district to district 4.
This is not a district.
Wao o kele
Pāhoa
Dmv Firestations, our town, ocean is not being removed from district 5.

We are paying privately for 45,000 Pāhoa vehicles will not be separated from our district again.
Hours spent on a few houses in kona and Hilo. As the commissioner said "they don't mind being left out 10 years."
Having no representation in house and senate.
It is not paying for removal of our entire district and future candidates.
District 5 have not even had their cdp yet.
All candidates are from district 5 is not giving up Pāhoa
Dmv
Only transfer station. Our Pāhoa park, our open space, all services and district 5 privately pays for 45,000 traffic from Pāhoa district.
District 5 is not affiliated with any upper puna subdivisions.
We are affiliated with kapoho. Pāhoa agricultural not urban lots.
As all testified they could not access the site or testify.
Hawaiian acres the largest subdivision is the only agricultural subdivision, rural agricultural as Pāhoa.

Hawaiian acres is the only subdivision that paid 130 million for lava recovery still has no cdp
Middle puna like in the cdp, find this a non existent district does not meet a single criteria.
Patrice macdonald
45 members
I’ve lived in Kalama Valley going on 23 years. In my opinion it’s the best place to live on Oahu. Quiet, peaceful, and great neighbors. Why do you have to take most of that away? Hopefully our great neighbors will speak out and let you know how both of these things are not, and will never be wanted. Stand up for the people that voted you in to protect us!

Thomas Pokorny

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone
To the Final Redistricting Committee:

I am a long-time resident of Kalama Valley, and I'm writing in strong opposition to the redistricting that would eliminate Kalama Valley representation by Hawaii Kai Neighborhood Board. Like the members of our neighborhood board, I am firmly against the demolition of the Kalama Valley Shopping Center to make way for the development of the 5+ story retirement residence, Luana Kai. The decision to redistrict our neighborhood seems like an underhanded and corrupt way of suppressing the voice of our Hawaii Kai Neighborhood Board in order to advance with a project that nobody here supports. Kalama Valley was not designed to accommodate the many people and cars a development like Luana Kai would inevitably bring, and these changes would surely burden our aging infrastructure. Aesthetically, it would degrade the character of our neighborhood. This project seems to serve no function other than to fill the pockets of greedy developers, and I am firmly against it.

Thank you,
Zoe Dare-Attanasio
Please see attached written testimony for the December 22, 2021 HRC meeting. Please put me on the Agenda to testify.
Hawaii State Reapportionment Commission  
State of Hawaii House redistricting 2021

**PETITION**

We the undersigned residents of the Island of Hawaii respectfully request your approval of the House Community redistricting plan for the Island of Hawaii. We reviewed this plan submitted on behalf of the community and we believe it is clearly preferable to the current plan being considered by your Commission. The House Community plan provides for more equal representation between House Districts and provides for greater community continuity within the House Districts.

**We urge you to adopt the Island of Hawaii House Community redistricting plan.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>96760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We the undersigned residents of the Island of Hawaii respectfully request your approval of the House Community redistricting plan for the Island of Hawaii. We reviewed this plan submitted on behalf of the community and we believe it is clearly preferable to the current plan being considered by your Commission. The House Community plan provides for more equal representation between House Districts and provides for greater community continuity within the House Districts.

We urge you to adopt the Island of Hawaii House Community redistricting plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  Ralph Boyer</td>
<td></td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Jaymeson L. Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td>96761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Helen Shirts</td>
<td></td>
<td>96778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  Diane Silva</td>
<td></td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  Allison Shiozaki</td>
<td></td>
<td>96720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  Julie Latendresse</td>
<td></td>
<td>96778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  Geoffrey Shaw</td>
<td></td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  Richard Keister</td>
<td></td>
<td>96771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  Trena Brady Keister</td>
<td></td>
<td>96771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Ronda K. Nicholson</td>
<td></td>
<td>96771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Admon Collum</td>
<td></td>
<td>96720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Norman Josephson</td>
<td></td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 William G. Threlkel</td>
<td></td>
<td>96788, 96778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Greg Delucchi</td>
<td></td>
<td>96778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Susan Bambana</td>
<td></td>
<td>96760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hawaii State Reapportionment Commission  
State of Hawaii House redistricting 2021  

**PETITION**

We the undersigned residents of the Island of Hawaii respectfully request your approval of the House Community redistricting plan for the Island of Hawaii. We reviewed this plan submitted on behalf of the community and we believe it is clearly preferable to the current plan being considered by your Commission. The House Community plan provides for more equal representation between House Districts and provides for greater community continuity within the House Districts.

We urge you to adopt the Island of Hawaii House Community redistricting plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Miller</td>
<td></td>
<td>96778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacquelyn Ching</td>
<td></td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ariel Murphy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hawaii State Reapportionment Commission  
State of Hawaii House redistricting 2021

PETITION

We the undersigned residents of the Island of Hawaii respectfully request your approval of the House Community redistricting plan for the Island of Hawaii. We reviewed this plan submitted on behalf of the community and we believe it is clearly preferable to the current plan being considered by your Commission. The House Community plan provides for more equal representation between House Districts and provides for greater community continuity within the House Districts.

We urge you to adopt the Island of Hawaii House Community redistricting plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mary M. Porter</td>
<td>Mary M. Porter</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevu C. Carey</td>
<td>Dave C. Loi</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joseph Delrymple</td>
<td>Joseph Delrymple</td>
<td>96778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERHARD Rutten, Edward Cole</td>
<td>ERHARD Rutten, Edward Cole</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK HARDING, Mark Harding</td>
<td>MARK HARDING, Mark Harding</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel H.C. Li</td>
<td>Li Dan</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Cutting</td>
<td>Kenneth Cutting</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gary Turner</td>
<td>Gary Turner</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Turner</td>
<td>Karen Turner</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breona Emes</td>
<td>Breona Emes</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Biltoff</td>
<td>Christopher Biltoff</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary C. Fleming</td>
<td>Mary C. Fleming</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel F. Costello</td>
<td>Samuel F. Costello</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Reinholston</td>
<td>Julie Reinholston</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We the undersigned residents of the Island of Hawaii respectfully request your approval of the House Community redistricting plan for the Island of Hawaii. We reviewed this plan submitted on behalf of the community and we believe it is clearly preferable to the current plan being considered by your Commission. The House Community plan provides for more equal representation between House Districts and provides for greater community continuity within the House Districts.

We urge you to adopt the Island of Hawaii House Community redistricting plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linnea Lindley</td>
<td>Linnea Lindley</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilten Hogan</td>
<td>Eilten Hogan</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Lee</td>
<td>Frances Lee</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aukai Keli Kului</td>
<td>Aukai Keli Kului</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dylan Seagars</td>
<td>Dylan Seagars</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal Schilszler</td>
<td>Crystal Schilszler</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlastic</td>
<td>Atlastic</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tina Stober</td>
<td>Tina Stober</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Meier</td>
<td>Michael Meier</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Hicks</td>
<td>Susan Hicks</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Meyers</td>
<td>Jennifer Meyers</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bruce Meyers</td>
<td>Bruce Meyers</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George F. Seagars JR</td>
<td>George F. Seagars JR</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Lee</td>
<td>Douglas Lee</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellen Benton</td>
<td>Ellen Benton</td>
<td>96749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hawaii State Reapportionment Commission  
State of Hawaii House redistricting 2021

PETITION

We the undersigned residents of the Island of Hawaii respectfully request your approval of the House Community redistricting plan for the Island of Hawaii. We reviewed this plan submitted on behalf of the community and we believe it is clearly preferable to the current plan being considered by your Commission. The House Community plan provides for more equal representation between House Districts and provides for greater community continuity within the House Districts.

We urge you to adopt the Island of Hawaii House Community redistricting plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Print Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
<th>Zip Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1  | Gri
ovoor Strom    | sign        | 96 749   |
| 2  | Christine Reed   | Reed      | 96 750   |
| 3  | Patricia Edy      | Patricia Edy | 96 749   |
| 4  |                 |           |          |
| 5  |                 |           |          |
| 6  |                 |           |          |
| 7  |                 |           |          |
| 8  |                 |           |          |
| 9  |                 |           |          |
| 10 |                 |           |          |
Aloha Hawaii Redistricting Committee members

My name is Ralph Boyea

I submitted the Community Plan and Community Plan Updated for the Hawai‘i Island House Districts.

I am hereby submitting testimony to ask you to adopt our Community Plan – Updated for the reasons submitted previously in my written and oral testimony and for the reasons iterated, in both oral and written testimony, by Hawaii Island community members in support of our Community Plan.

I am also submitting six [6] pages of a petition signed by 52 community members in support of our plan.

We believe our plan provides for fairer representation in the seven Hawai‘i Island House Districts.

I am including a copy of my written testimony dated 11/30/21. I believe it explains why you should support our second submittal the “Community Plan – Updated.”

We again ask that you adopt our updated Community Plan.

Ralph Boyea

Attachment:

Petitions in support of our Community Plan [6 pages]
Written testimony by Ralph Boyea dated 11/30/2021
Concerns relating to the original Community Plan following our presentation to the Hawaii Advisory Council.

1. In my opinion, its a Puna-centric map that takes care of lower Puna and does not consider the rest of the island."

Response: The plan is not Puna centric as it's origin was to actually begin mapping from both Kona and Hilo towns, trying to keep much of the urban areas together and then building out from there. Also input was given by active community members living in each of the districts of our BIG island. There were/are a lot of concerns with the current proposal by HRC that centered around how the Puna area is being divided, so special considerations were taken there, but there were compromises made in all districts, as there is no perfect map that meets all of our individual district's needs.

1. "House District 1 - Goes from Mt View and Keeau to Hakalau and cuts out Hilo so its not connected with a road"

Response: Community Plan Updated addresses all road connectivity concerns. House District 1 includes Hilo and it is fully connected by roads. Also, this "C" shaped section of communities that surround the urban and industrial parts of Hilo are much more similar than they are different. They all identify with Hilo as their main center of commerce and recreation. There are plantation-era camp-like communities on both Northern, Southern, and Mauka of Hilo area that this map captures and includes. The current State proposed map lumps communities directly outside of downtown Hilo with communities as far North as Waimea and as far South as Pahala, this C-shape our map proposes, may appear a bit unconventional, keeps those suburban communities connected surrounding Hilo.

2. "House District 3- stretches from Milolii to Kurtistown, way bigger than necessary and a 2 hours drive to get from one end to the other. This puts parts of Upper Puna with South Kona, in my opinion, that makes less sense than putting parts of Puna with Hilo as the tech group did."

Response: Puna is a rural area, South Kona is a rural area, Hilo is an urban area. The rural areas have more in common with each other than they do with the urban areas. Examples: lack of police and fire protection; lack of alternate escape routes; poorly maintained roads; lack of services, lack of infrastructure, and large commute times. We are unclear about what "bigger than necessary" means. The districts are exactly as large as they need to be to capture similar populations and create lowest deviations in population. Some parts of the island are largely uninhabited so therefore they have far greater area mass but lower population density. This is the
“Big Island” population areas are spread across many miles. Distance from an urban area does not negate similarity between district concerns.

3. "House District 4 - Has a cut out for Ainaloa, this looks like gerrymandering, I get their reasoning but you can't have a little connection to include one neighborhood."

Response: Ainaloa clearly has more in common with HPP, Hwn Beaches, Nanawali, etc than with the Upper Puna subdivisions that is why it was included in House District 4 rather than House District 3. Given that and the concern raised, the map was reconfigured in “Community Plan Updated” to make Ainaloa more contiguous with those subdivisions.

4. "House District 5 and 6 cut up Kona in an odd way. House District 5 goes from Kailua to Hookena and House District 6 takes a part of Kailua and goes to Waikaloa Village. Waikoloa should be with Waimea or Kohala in my opinion. The Tech committee map keeps south Kona in one district and Kailua Kona in another."

Response: This is similar to the choice our group made with the surrounding Hilo areas. Downtown Kona and industrial areas are all kept together in District 6, which based on population numbers also includes RESIDENTIAL Waikoloa area. While traditionally Waikoloa has been included with Waimea or Kohala area, many if not most of the residents journey towards Kona for work, shopping, recreation. The current and HRC proposed D5 puts residents who are still in "downtown" Kailua-Kona, off of Ali'i Dr. or who live in Holualoa in the same district as those all the way down in Nā'ālehu. Our proposed map fixes that and keeps residents directly surrounding Kailua-Kona much closer together.

5. "House District 7 is another larger than necessary district that has a cut out for Puako and goes all the way to Hakalau. This is a 2 hour drive to get from one side to the other. This map drastically changes the historical district lines and puts multiple communities that are a 2 hours drive away from each other in 1 district."

Response: The drive time from Hakalau to Puako and to North Kohala is less than 2 hours. Therefore there aren't "multiple communities" in HD 7 that are 2 hours drive away from each other. This is the "Big Island" and longer driving distances are a fact of life. On the other islands, driving distances may be substantially shorter, but driving times may well be greater. Puako is added to House District 7 to help equalize the populations in each District. The choice between minimizing deviations and maximizing geographic integrity is often difficult.

Overall the “Community Plan Updated” version is better than the HRC plan.
1. The Community Plan keeps the subdivisions together in the more rural areas and it does a good job of keeping the urban areas less split between multiple House Districts.

2. The Community Plan comes closer to reaching the goal of 28,584 residents per House District. Overall our “Updated” plan deviates from that goal by 5.5% as opposed to the HRC deviation of 5.72%.

3. The Community Plan recognizes the fact, documented by the 2020 Census, that Puna and Kona are the fastest growing areas in population on the Big Island. The Community Plan provides for two House seats in: Hilo; two House seats in Kona; and, two House seats in Puna. It provides for one House seat in the Hamakua - Kohala district. This allocation of House seats is highly justified by the 2020 Census results.
Aloha Hawaii Redistricting Committee members

My name is Ralph Boyea

I live in OLE on the Island of Hawaii.

OLE is in East Hawaii in the District of Puna.

I have resided on the Big Island since 1974, my children were raised here.

I am very concerned about the proposed State House Redistricting maps for our island.

However, before going into the HRC map, I want to urge the HRC to reconsider the assignment of House Districts to the Island of Hawaii. We are aware that after seven (7) House Districts were assigned to our island the U.S. Department of Defense, via PACOM provided updated military non-resident numbers. Those more recent numbers are significantly higher than the numbers used by the HRC. I respectfully request that the HRC utilize those numbers in assigning House Districts. I am confident that the new numbers will justify an additional House seat for Hawaii Island. I fully agree with and fully support the positions taken by Barbara Dalton to the HRC on this issue.

Please be advised that, should the HRC revise the extraction numbers and conclude that the Island of Hawaii should have eight [8] House seats instead of seven [7], we have already prepared a draft plan to accommodate those eight House seats.

Given the possibility that the HRC will continue with its assignment of seven House Districts I want to testify against the current HRC Plan for our island.

I am concerned that communities are being divided across multiple House Districts. I am concerned about rural agricultural subdivisions being included in urban districts.

For example about 80% of HPP was placed in a Hilo District. In another example the agricultural subdivisions of OL, Hwn Acres, Fern Forest, etc are placed in another Hilo District.

I understand and appreciate the HRC efforts to define the Hawaii House Districts, doing so is clearly not an easy task. However I believe, after much effort and several revisions based on community input, our community has come up with a better plan for the Island of Hawaii.
For these reasons and others I joined a group of concerned residents from around the Island. We came up with an alternative redistricting plan for the Hawaii Island House Districts. We originally submitted that plan with the title “Community Plan”

After presenting our plan to the Hawaii Island Advisory Council we made revisions based on community input from that meeting. We believe that our “Community Plan Updated” addresses all of the concerns raised.

We believe the Community Plan Update serves the needs of our communities better than the proposed HRC plan. Our plan keeps the subdivisions together in more rural areas and it does a good job of keeping the urban areas less split between multiple House Districts.

Should you chose not to assign an eighth House District to Hawaii Island, then please support our alternative “Community Plan Updated”.

Ralph Boyea

P.S. I am attaching a document that lists the concerns that we received and how we addressed those concerns.
Concerns relating to the original Community Plan following our presentation to the Hawaii Advisory Council.

1. In my opinion, it's a Puna-centric map that takes care of lower Puna and does not consider the rest of the island."

   Response: The plan is not Puna centric as it's origin was to actually begin mapping from both Kona and Hilo towns, trying to keep much of the urban areas together and then building out from there. Also input was given by active community members living in each of the districts of our BIG island. There were/are a lot of concerns with the current proposal by HRC that centered around how the Puna area is being divided, so special considerations were taken there, but there were compromises made in all districts, as there is no perfect map that meets all of our individual district's needs.

1. "House District 1 - Goes from Mt View and Keau to Hakalau and cuts out Hilo so its not connected with a road"

   Response: Community Plan Updated addresses all road connectivity concerns. House District 1 includes Hilo and it is fully connected by roads. Also, this "C" shaped section of communities that surround the urban and industrial parts of Hilo are much more similar than they are different. They all identify with Hilo as their main center of commerce and recreation. There are plantation-era camp-like communities on both Northern, Southern, and Mauka of Hilo area that this map captures and includes. The current State proposed map lumps communities directly outside of downtown Hilo with communities as far North as Waimea and as far South as Pahala, this C-shape our map proposes, may appear a bit unconventional, keeps those suburban communities connected surrounding Hilo.

   "

2. "House District 3- stretches from Milolii to Kurtistown, way bigger than necessary and a 2 hours drive to get from one end to the other. This puts parts of Upper Puna with South Kona, in my opinion, that makes less sense than putting parts of Puna with Hilo as the tech group did."

   Response: Puna is a rural area, South Kona is a rural area, Hilo is an urban area. The rural areas have more in common with each other than they do with the urban areas. Examples: lack of police and fire protection; lack of alternate escape routes; poorly maintained roads; lack of services, lack of infrastructure, and large commute times. We are unclear about what "bigger than necessary" means. The districts are exactly as large as they need to be to capture similar populations and create lowest deviations in population. Some parts of the island are largely uninhabited so therefore they have far greater area mass but lower population density. This is the
“Big Island” population areas are spread across many miles. Distance from an urban area does not negate similarity between district concerns.

3. "House District 4 - Has a cut out for Ainaloa, this looks like gerrymandering, I get their reasoning but you can't have a little connection to include one neighborhood."

Response: Ainaloa clearly has more in common with HPP, Hwn Beaches, Nanawali, etc than with the Upper Puna subdivisions that is why it was included in House District 4 rather than House District 3. Given that and the concern raised, the map was reconfigured in “Community Plan Updated” to make Ainaloa more contiguous with those subdivisions

4. "House District 5 and 6 cut up Kona in an odd way. House District 5 goes from Kailua to Hookena and House District 6 takes a part of Kailua and goes to Waikaloa Village. Waikoloa should be with Waimea or Kohala in my opinion. The Tech committee map keeps south Kona in one district and Kailua Kona in another."

Response: This is similar to the choice our group made with the surrounding Hilo areas. Downtown Kona and industrial areas are all kept together in District 6, which based on population numbers also includes RESIDENTIAL Waikoloa area. While traditionally Waikoloa has been included with Waimea or Kohala area, many if not most of the residents journey towards Kona for work, shopping, recreation. The current and HRC proposed D5 puts residents who are still in "downtown" Kailua-Kona, off of Ali’i Dr. or who live in Holualoa in the same district as those all the way down in Nā‘ālehu. Our proposed map fixes that and keeps residents directly surrounding Kailua-Kona much closer together.

5. "House District 7 is another larger than necessary district that has a cut out for Puako and goes all the way to Hakalau. This is a 2 hour drive to get from one side to the other. This map drastically changes the historical district lines and puts multiple communities that are a 2 hours drive away from each other in 1 district."

Response: The drive time from Hakalau to Puako and to North Kohala is less than 2 hours. Therefore there aren't "multiple communities" in HD 7 that are 2 hours drive away from each other. This is the "Big Island" and longer driving distances are a fact of life. On the other islands, driving distances may be substantially shorter, but driving times may well be greater. Puako is added to House District 7 to help equalize the populations in each District. The choice between minimizing deviations and maximizing geographic integrity is often difficult.

Overall the “Community Plan Updated” version is better than the HRC plan.
1. The Community Plan keeps the subdivisions together in the more rural areas and it does a good job of keeping the urban areas less split between multiple House Districts.

2. The Community Plan comes closer to reaching the goal of 28,584 residents per House District. Overall our “Updated” plan deviates from that goal by 5.5% as opposed to the HRC deviation of 5.72%.

3. The Community Plan recognizes the fact, documented by the 2020 Census, that Puna and Kona are the fastest growing areas in population on the Big Island. The Community Plan provides for two House seats in Hilo; two House seats in Kona; and, two House seats in Puna. It provides for one House seat in the Hamakua - Kohala district. This allocation of House seats is highly justified by the 2020 Census results.
Hello,

Our Kalama Valley neighborhood cannot support a large apartment community. The planned retirement community will put too much stress on our traffic and aging infrastructure. We already have consistent department of water issues with water line breaks.

This entire process has been secretive and unjust.

Please do not allow Kalama Valley to be trained to accommodate the developers.

With aloha,
Allison Urbick

Kalama Valley resident
I am a tax paying resident of Kalama Valley and have been for over 35 years. I strenuously object to this totally unnecessary redistricting move which was initiated without public support from Kalama Valley and nearby residents who pay property taxes and vote for our representatives. If this goes through as planned, I will never again vote for representatives Chang and Waters, and will advise all my contacts why they should join me in no longer voting for these people who do not seem to be representing our residents, but instead some political agenda or private party.

It has been shocking that these “representatives” have been absent from our local neighborhood board meetings. Had they chosen to attend and seek input from the residents of this community, I am confident they would not have gotten support for this outrageous and unnecessary Gerrymandering effort.

It has just come to my attention that the reason, and likely only reason, for this redistricting is to try to force through a Luana Kai development by demolishing the local Kalama Valley Shopping Center which presumably would force closure of the popular Thai Valley Cuisine restaurant which has been an anchor tenant in the center for decades, putting out of business the only restaurant in the area and other small businesses in favor of some huge conglomerate.

I urge our representatives to do the right thing for the community and withdraw any and all support for redistricting, and enabling this project.

Stephen D. Hungerford
V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
FOR THE MEETING OF
OCTOBER 28, 2021
Pursuant to the Governor's Emergency Proclamation Related to the COVID-19 Delta Response, dated October 1, 2021, the Reapportionment Commission will be meeting remotely using interactive conference technology. This meeting was recorded and has been posted on the Office of Elections website at elections.hawaii.gov.

Commissioners in Attendance:
Mark Mugiishi, Chair
Calvert Chipchase IV
Grant Chun
Robin Kennedy
Charlotte Nekota
Randall Nishimura
Dylan Nonaka
Diane Ono
Kevin Rathbun

Staff in Attendance:
Scott Nago
David Rosenbrock
Lori Tanigawa

Testifiers in Attendance:
Bill Hicks
Bart Dame
Vanessa Distajo
Amy Monk
Kainoa Kaumeheiw-Rego
Charles Sexton
Lisa Bishop
Kimeona Kane
Roberta Mayor
Ellen Watson
Kapohuolahaina Pa Moniz
Gail Baron
Becky Gardner
John Simonds
Representative Matthew S. LoPresti
Michael J. Golajuch, Jr.
Eleanor Rolark
Rhiannon "Pili" Callahan
Nikhilananda
Matt Prellberg
Shannon Matson
Brett Kulbis
Ian Ross
Kapua Medeiros
Patrice Macdonald
Robert E. Fox

PROCEEDINGS

I. Call to Order

Chair Mugiishi called the meeting to order at 12:00 PM.

II. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum

Reapportionment Commission Secretary, Scott Nago, conducted a roll call. All members of the Reapportionment Commission were present at the start of the meeting, with the exception of Commissioner Chipchase, Commissioner Kennedy, and Commissioner Nekota. The Commission had a quorum.

III. Public Testimony

Chair Mugiishi addressed housekeeping matters related to conducting the meeting by video and by telephone. He reminded testifiers that if technical issues arise, testifiers would be given a moment to resolve their issues. If the problems cannot be resolved, the Commission would move on to the next testifier. He asked that those wishing to testify raise their hand via the Zoom reactions feature or press *9 if joining by phone. He asked testifiers to state their first and last names and the items they were testifying on for the record.

Commissioner Kennedy was acknowledged as present at 12:03 PM.

Commissioner Chipchase was acknowledged as present at 12:04 PM.
Bill Hicks testified, providing comments related to the proposed redistricting plan for the area of Kailua, and submitted a proposed plan for consideration meeting the criteria adopted by the Commission.

Commissioner Nonaka thanked Bill Hicks for the time and effort to create and submit a plan. Commissioner Nonaka commented about the impacts to Waialua, Millilani, and parts of Kunia and Waipahu that Mr. Hicks’ plan had in one district and that the Commission would expect to receive similar criticism for such a plan that groups these communities together. He thanked Bill Hicks again for his time and stated that the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group would review it.

Chair Mugiishi restated Commissioner Nonaka’s comments and added that the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group and Commission would be taking and reviewing the feedback it receives.

Commissioner Nekota was acknowledged as present at 12:10 PM.

Bart Dame testified, providing comments asking the Commission to defer the vote on the proposed redistricting plan for the island of Oahu; recognizing that the Oahu Advisory Council has not met yet; and noting concerns regarding the data of non-permanent residents from the military.

Commissioner Nonaka commented about the Senate District maps, which have wrapped around the Makapuu Point for 20 years without objection. Bart Dame responded that the Senate District maps do not explain the maps for the Representative District. Commissioner Nonaka acknowledged the comments made by Bart Dame.

Chair Mugiishi noted that agenda item 6 relates to the process and emphasized that there would be no contemplation of approving a final plan at this meeting. He further stated that any action by the Commission at this meeting would trigger an extensive public input process.

Vanessa Distajo testified, providing comments regarding the proposed redistricting plan for Manoa and asking the Commission to consider the proposed redistricting plan submitted by Bill Hicks.

Amy Monk testified, providing comments regarding the redistricting around the Makapuu Point and the extraction of military non-permanent residents.

Kainoa Kaumeheiwa-Rego of Common Cause Hawaii testified, providing comments regarding the conduct of public hearings. Commissioner Kennedy asked if there is a solution that Common Cause proposes to support public participation. Kainoa Kaumeheiwa-Rego stated
that Common Cause recommends traditional media sources in addition to online resources. Commissioner Kennedy urged Common Cause to assist with public access.

Chair Mugiishi stated the Commission receives and reads the written testimony. He also explained that it is presently not the intent of the Commission to conduct in-person meetings due to the continuing health concerns and related logistics.

**Charles Sexton** testified opposing the proposed redistricting plan regarding House District 20 and House District 24 and supporting the redistricting plan submitted by Bill Hicks.

**Lisa Bishop** of Friends of Hanauma Bay testified in support of the redistricting plan submitted by Bill Hicks.

**Kimeona Kane** testified, providing comments regarding the proposed redistricting plan and the conduct of the hearings.

**Roberta Mayor**, Chair of the Hawaii Kai Neighborhood Board, testified in opposition to the proposed legislative redistricting plan, specifically the House Districts 17 and 51 and Senate Districts 9 and 25.

**Ellen Watson** testified, providing comments expressing concerns regarding the conduct of the meeting.

Commissioner Nonaka explained the Commission’s next steps require a vote on a proposed plan prior to the public being notified and allowed to comment. He stated that the plan is not a final plan and that the Commission would continue to review and make changes to the plan. He also acknowledged that further delays of the redistricting plan could impact the conduct of the 2022 Elections.

Commissioner Ono restated the comments made by Commissioner Nonaka and added that she reads and considers all the testimony presented by the public.

Commissioner Nekota stated her support for the comments made by Commissioner Ono and noted that the population growth is in West Oahu. Commissioner Kennedy further explained that considering the proposed redistricting plan at this meeting is part of the process to move on to public hearings.

Chair Mugiishi thanked the Commissioners for sharing their perspective and noted that the Commission is bound by the Supreme Court to file a plan by February 2022.
Commissioner Nishimura recognized that other members of the public submitted plans for consideration by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group. He encouraged testifiers to submit plans using the Hawaii Redistricting Online application for the Commission to consider.

**Kapohuolahaina Pa Moniz** testified in opposition to the proposed redistricting plan and asked if the Commission will be going to the respective Neighborhood Board meetings to talk to the community.

Chair Mugiishi responded that the public hearings would be announced and that the public, including Neighborhood Boards, would be able to participate.

**Gail Baron** testified, providing comments regarding the legal requirements of the Reapportionment Commission and submitting testimony to the Advisory Councils.

Chair Mugiishi thanked Gail Baron for providing testimony and confirmed that her comments had been received.

Commissioner Nonaka commented that the Advisory Councils are different from the Reapportionment Commission and that the public hearing notices for the Advisory Councils are also posted.

**Becky Gardner** testified, providing comments regarding the extraction of the non-permanent resident population, consultation with the Advisory Councils, and disruption to voters in East Honolulu.

**John Simonds** testified in support of the proposed redistricting plan submitted by Bill Hicks and opposed the redistricting plan under consideration by the Commission, specifically House District 51.

**Representative Matthew S. LoPresti** testified in opposition to the proposed redistricting plan.

Chair Mugiishi confirmed that he received his complaint and investigated but could not find any substantiating evidence.

**Michael J. Golojuch, Jr.** testified in support of the proposed redistricting plan specifically regarding the area of Makakilo.

**Eleanor Rolark** testified, providing comments asking the Commission to keep communities together in the redistricting plan.

**Rhiannon “Pili” Callahan** testified with concerns regarding the proposed redistricting plan for House District 51.
Nikhilananda testified, providing comments regarding House District 13 and voting on the proposed redistricting plan.

Chair Mugiishi confirmed that the Commission must meet the requirements of the Supreme Court.

Commissioner Ono further confirmed that the Commission is on an extension granted by the Supreme Court.

Matt Prellberg testified in opposition to the proposed redistricting plan for the island of Oahu, specifically the McCully-Moiiili area.

Shannon Matson testified in opposition to the proposed redistricting plan regarding the island of Hawaii, specifically separating subdivisions and the extraction of the non-permanent resident population.

Commissioner Nonaka commented that the extraction was conducted in the same manner and using the same data sources as 2011 Reapportionment, following the decision by the Supreme Court.

Commissioner Kennedy stated that she is working with PACOM for review.

Chair Mugiishi explained that Commissioner Kennedy noted that she had some connections to PACOM and asked for an alternate run of information. He stated that the data she shared entirely left out dependents, and the Commission would need to review the disparate numbers.

Commissioner Kennedy stated that the military noted discrepancies that the Commission would review.

Brett Kulbis testified in support of the proposed redistricting plan and the proposed redistricting plan submitted by Bill Hicks regarding Ewa Beach.

Ian Ross testified in opposition to the proposed representative redistricting plan for the island of Oahu.

Kapua Medeiros testified in opposition to the proposed redistricting plan for Representative District 51.

Patrice Macdonald testified in opposition to the proposed redistricting plan for the island of Hawaii.

Commissioner Nonaka asked for clarification on the specific subdivision. Patrice Macdonald identified Hawaiian Acres. Commissioner Nonaka explained that the redistricting process for Hawaii island starts with the
geographic restrictions of the volcano, and districts are sized to equal target populations.

Robert E. Fox testified, providing comments regarding the criteria for redistricting.

IV. Reports by the Apportionment Advisory Councils

Chair Mugiishi explained that the Reapportionment Commission had invited each Advisory Council – Hawaii, Maui, Kauai, and Oahu, to provide feedback on the redistricting matters for each basic island unit. The Reapportionment Commission has planned to allow each Advisory Council to present at the Commission meetings.

Pursuant to HRS § 92-2.5(e), Commissioner Nonaka stated that he attended the Hawaii Advisory Council meeting on October 27, 2021, as did Commissioner Ono, Commissioner Rathbun, and Commissioner Nishimura, noting that they observed; they did not participate in the meeting. Commissioner Nekota stated that she did not attend.

Commissioner Rathbun stated that he attended the Kauai Advisory Council, Maui Advisory Council, and Hawaii Advisory Council meetings. He summarized that the Councils discussed the proposed redistricting plan. Commissioner Rathbun stated that the Kauai and Maui Advisory Councils voted to support the plan. He asked Commissioner Nonaka if the Hawaii Advisory Council supported the proposed redistricting plan. Commissioner Nonaka responded that the Hawaii Advisory Council did not endorse the proposed redistricting plan but did provide positive feedback and comments for consideration.

Commissioner Ono stated that she attended the Maui Advisory Council and Hawaii Advisory Council meetings. She clarified that the Maui Advisory Council made a motion to support the proposed redistricting plan but ultimately decided not to vote.

Commissioner Chun stated he was at the Maui Advisory Council meeting and restated the meeting summary by Commissioner Ono.

Commissioner Nishimura noted that he attended the Kauai Advisory Council meeting and summarized that the Council supported the Reapportionment Commission’s proposed redistricting plan for Kauai and Niihau and decision to oppose canoe districts. He noted that the Advisory Council Chair, Hermina Morita submitted a report to the Commission.

Commissioner Kennedy asked for clarification on the protocols to report on Advisory Council meetings. Chair Mugiishi responded that the Advisory
Councils are invited to attend the Reapportionment Commission meetings and submit reports.

Kauai Advisory Council Chair, Hermina Morita reported that she had just submitted a written report to the Reapportionment Commission. She summarized that the Kauai Advisory Council took action to support the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Groups proposed redistricting for Kauai County and the Commission’s decision not to use canoe districts.

V. Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of October 14, 2021

Commissioner Kennedy made a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting of October 14, 2021, seconded by Commissioner Nekota and approved unanimously by the Commission.

VI. Discussion Regarding the Conduct of Public Hearings and Future Meeting Dates

Chair Mugiishi expressed that it is a priority to this Commission and himself as Chair that the public be provided with ample time and opportunity to comment on the redistricting plans.

Chair Mugiishi further summarized that at the October 14, 2021 meeting, the Commission was presented with a proposed legislative redistricting plan that the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group drafted according to the criteria set forth by law. He stated that the Commission intended to vote on the adoption of the proposed plan. He continued to summarize the process laid out by statute that the Commission follows once the plans are adopted.

Chair Mugiishi explained that the Commission would hold public hearings where public testimony can be submitted specifically to the proposed plan once the plans are initially adopted. In addition, to ensure that the public is provided with an opportunity to provide input, a public hearing will be conducted at least once in each basic island unit. He stated that a public notice would be published at least 20 days before the hearings. A quorum of the Commissioners will be present at each public hearing to receive testimony wherever practicable.

Chair Mugiishi announced the tentative dates for the public hearings:

11/23/2021    Kauai

11/24/2021    East Honolulu
11/26/2021  Big Island-West
11/29/2021  Big Island-East
11/30/2021  Oahu-Windward
12/1/2021  Maui-Lahaina
12/1/2021  Maui-Kahului
12/2/2021  Molokai
12/3/2021  Oahu-Central
12/4/2021  Oahu-Leeward
12/6/2021  Lanai

Chair Mugiishi stated that the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group would continue to meet to consider revisions to the plan in response to the feedback from the public. He further explained that after the public hearings are completed, the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group, per HRS §92-2.5, will present a proposed final plan to the Commission for discussion and consideration. Subsequently, another meeting of the Commission would be held to consider its adoption. He stated these two meetings were tentatively scheduled for December 22, 2021 (presentation of the plan by Technical Permitted Interaction Group) and January 3, 2022 (deliberation and action by the Commission on the plan).

Chair Mugiishi noted that there were questions regarding the staggered terms for State Senate and asked Project Manager David Rosenbrock to explain. David Rosenbrock summarized that the Commission assigns the two-year terms to senate seats so that the resident population of each senate district shall have no more than two regular senate elections for a particular senate seat within the six-year period beginning in the even-numbered year prior to the reapportionment year. David Rosenbrock noted that given the nature of the process, the final determination of the staggering of seats could only be made once the district lines are in place for the final redistricting plan.

Commissioner Rathbun commented on the schedule around Thanksgiving and suggested participation would be low that week.
Commissioner Ono asked what time the hearings are expected to start and how long they last. David Rosenbrock responded that he is targeting starting at 2:00 PM for most of the meetings and that there is no designated end time. Commissioner Nonaka expressed that the duration of the meeting would depend on community interest.

Chair Mugiiishi also questioned the schedule for the week of the Thanksgiving holiday and suggested moving them. Commissioner Ono suggested moving the meeting on November 24th as it relates to East Honolulu.

Commissioner Rathbun suggested that the hearings be conducted in the evening and Saturday, so people do not need to take off work.

Commissioner Nishimura noted that the Kauai meeting date could be moved to accommodate the schedule.

Chair Mugiiishi asked David Rosenbrock to revise the public hearing schedule to maximize public participation. David Rosenbrock acknowledged the request and proposed starting the meetings at 6:00 PM. Commissioner Ono suggested 5:00 PM.

Commissioner Nishimura confirmed that the Commission would have to act on the proposed plan before finalizing the hearing schedule. Chair Mugiiishi confirmed that if the Commission decided to consider an alternate redistricting plan, it would require two more meetings for consideration and action.

Chair Mugiiishi asked how the public would be notified of the hearings. David Rosenbrock responded that the notices would be published in the newspaper and through the media and that plans would be available at select libraries.

Commissioner Kennedy asked if the dates could be amended based on testimony received. Chair Mugiiishi clarified that the public hearing dates are not flexible as they require 20 days’ notice.

Commissioner Nekota suggested that hearings for West Oahu start at 6:30 PM to account for traffic. Chair Mugiiishi asked David Rosenbrock to adapt the start times for each proposed hearing.
VII. Discussion and Action to Adopt the Proposed Legislative Redistricting Plan

Commissioner Nekota made a motion to adopt the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group’s legislative redistricting plan, seconded by Commissioner Nonaka.

Commissioner Chipchase expressed that in consideration of the timeline it is appropriate to vote in favor of the proposed redistricting plan. He further noted that the decision to vote in favor of the plan does not signify an intent to ignore the concerns provided by the testifiers and clarified that the concerns would be reviewed as the process moves forward.

Commissioner Kennedy restated the sentiment made by Commissioner Chipchase.

Commissioner Nonaka further clarified that voting on the proposed redistricting plan is not an endorsement for a final plan, and that the decision to vote on a proposed redistricting plan has to occur in order to move on the next step of public hearings.

The motion was approved unanimously by the Commission.

VIII. Adjournment

Commissioner Ono made a motion to adjourn the meeting, which was seconded by Commissioner Kennedy and approved unanimously by the Commission. The meeting was adjourned at 2:40 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT T. NAGO
Secretary to the Reapportionment Commission
VI. REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION’S SEPTEMBER 2021 REQUEST THAT THE MILITARY CONFIRM THE NUMBER OF ACTIVE-DUTY SPONSORS WITH DUTY STATION OF HAWAII BUT STATE OF LEGAL RESIDENCE NOT HAWAII BY MAILING ZIP AND MAILING ZIP EXTENSION, AND ACTION, IF NECESSARY, REGARDING THE PERMANENT RESIDENT POPULATION BASE TO BE USED FOR LEGISLATIVE REAPPORTIONMENT AND REDISTRICTING
Reapportionment and Redistricting in Hawaii

Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base
- Non-Permanent Residents Military

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission
December 22, 2021
Census Data

Permanent Resident Population
State Senate and State House

Section 4. The commission shall allocate the total number of members of each house of the state legislature being reapportioned among the four basic island units, namely: (1) the island of Hawaii, (2) the islands of Maui, Lanai, Molokai and Kahoolawe, (3) the island of Oahu and all other islands not specifically enumerated, and (4) the islands of Kauai and Niihau, using the total number of permanent residents in each of the basic island units and computed by the method known as the method of equal proportions; except that no basic island unit shall receive less than one member in each house.

Section 6. Upon the determination of the total number of members of each house of the state legislature to which each basic island unit is entitled, the commission shall apportion the members among the districts therein and shall redraw district lines where necessary in such manner that for each house the average number of permanent residents per member in each district is as nearly equal to the average for the basic island unit as practicable.
**Non-Permanent Residents - Military**

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents  
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

**NON-PERMANENT RESIDENTS (NPR) TO BE EXTRACTED FROM 2020 CENSUS DATA FOR HAWAII**

Statewide and by Basic Island Unit (BIU)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPR</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>BIU-Oahu</th>
<th>BIU-Hawaii</th>
<th>BIU-Maui</th>
<th>BIU-Kauai</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPR military</td>
<td>64,415</td>
<td>64,010</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPR students</td>
<td>7,250</td>
<td>6,589</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NPR Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>71,665</strong></td>
<td><strong>70,599</strong></td>
<td><strong>539</strong></td>
<td><strong>226</strong></td>
<td><strong>301</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Military sponsors and their dependents**, if the following conditions hold:
   - They declared a state other than Hawaii as their state of legal residence (Home State) (Nonpermanent).
   - They were otherwise covered by the *Final 2020 Census Residence Criteria and Residence Situations* publication in the Federal Register (February 8, 2018) for inclusion in the U.S. Census Bureau count for Hawaii. 83 FR 5525.

2. **Students**, provided the following conditions are met:
   - They pay out-of-state tuition or have a permanent address outside Hawaii (Nonpermanent).
   - They were otherwise covered by the *Final 2020 Census Residence Criteria and Residence Situations* publication in the Federal Register (February 8, 2018) for inclusion in the U.S. Census Bureau count for Hawaii. 83 FR 5525.
Data Request from PACOM

Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q: How many non-permanent resident military were counted by the U.S. Census as living in Hawaii and should be extracted to create the Hawaii Population Base used for reapportionment and redistricting of Hawaii legislative districts?

Q: How many military sponsors and dependents who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Non-Permanent Residents - Military
Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?


Chairperson Minami spoke on the issue of military dependents. The active military member had declared non-resident status. For the dependents, they can be tracked but the real issue is whether or not the dependents would follow their spouse in declaring residency in another state. That is the issue that created the uncertainty because the Commission has no basis for saying that 100% of the dependents will go with their spouse or 50% will go with their spouse. Deciding how many of the dependents will go with their spouse and how many will be residents of Hawaii is the difficulty. The definition of the Tax Code, “intention” is what created the uncertainty.

2001 Commission extracted 37,417 non-permanent resident military
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

2011 Commission: AppendicesAG_2011-12-29.pdf

Extraction of Non-Permanent Residents
- Three Extractions:
  - EXTRACTION A:
    - Students with full addresses
    - Military in Group Quarters
      - Census block location known, fairly certain non-permanent status
  - EXTRACTION B:
    - All of Extraction A
    - Military (and others) living On-base
      - Census block location known, less certain non-permanent status
  - EXTRACTION C:
    - All of Extractions A and B
    - Students with only ZIP Codes
      - Census block location not known, fairly certain non-permanent status

2011 Commission extracted 16,458 non-permanent resident military
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?


Malama SOLOMON, State Senator, 1st Senatorial District; Louis Hao; Patricia A. Cook; And Steven G. Pavao, Petitioners,

v.

Neil ABERCROMBIE, Governor, State of Hawai'i; Scott Nago, Chief Election Officer, State of Hawai'i; State of Hawai'i 2011 Reapportionment Commission; Victoria Marks; Lorrie Lee Stone; Anthony Takitani; Calvert Chipchase IV; Elizabeth Moore; Clarice Y. Hashimoto; Harold S. Masumoto; Dylan Nonaka; and Terry E. Thomason, Respondents.

2011 Commission extracted 95,447 non-permanent resident military
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

FinalReport_2012_03_30.pdf
Clarify “Permanent Resident” – Legislative Action 2021

Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

S.B. NO. 1350
S.D. 1
H.D. 2
C.D. 1

THE SENATE
THIRTY-FIRST LEGISLATURE, 2021
STATE OF HAWAII

SECTION 5. Section 25-2, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended by amending subsection (a) to read as follows:

"(a) Legislative reapportionment. The commission shall reapportion the members of each house of the legislature on the basis, method, and criteria prescribed by the Constitution of the United States and article IV of the Hawaii State Constitution. [Pursuant thereto, the] For purposes of legislative reapportionment, a "permanent resident" means a person having the person's domiciliary in the State. In determining the total number of permanent residents for purposes of apportionment among the four basic island units, the commission shall only extract non-permanent residents from the total population of the State counted by the United States Census Bureau for the respective reapportionment year.
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>Request Sen. Hirono to facilitate request for PACOM data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 4, 2020</td>
<td>Receive PACOM data by Duty Station Zip5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 14, 2020</td>
<td>Receive PACOM data by Duty Station Zip5+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2, 2020</td>
<td>Receive PACOM data by Mailing Address Zip5+4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 9, 2020</td>
<td>Email to PACOM - why so many fewer than in 2012?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 25, 2021</td>
<td>PACOM confirms June 2, 2020 as &quot;the best information available&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 6, 2021</td>
<td>PACOM June 2, 2020 data matched to BIU for reapportionment using Zip5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACOM June 2, 2020 data matched to census block for redistricting using Zip5+4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active Duty Sponsors with Duty State of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence not Hawaii By Residence Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As of: April 1, 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source: Active Duty Master File and DEERS Database Extract</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mailing Zip Code/Zip Code Extension | Sponsors | Spouses | Other Dependents | Children Age 0 | Children Age 1 | Children Age 2 | Children Age 3 | Children Age 4 | Children Age 5 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>25,987</td>
<td>15,296</td>
<td>23,132</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Dependents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Extract</td>
<td>64,415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Non-Permanent Residents - Military

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

Q1: How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?
Q2: How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 12, 2021</td>
<td>US Census P.L. 94-171 population counts by census block received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Military and University NPR extracted by BIU for reapportionment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Military and University NPR extracted by census block for redistricting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 26, 2021</td>
<td>Present final NPR numbers and Hawaii Population Base at Commission meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commission adopts Hawaii Population Base, extracting 64,415 NPR military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 31, 2021</td>
<td>Upload Hawaii Population Base up to Hawaii Redistricting Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create template plans for State Legislative districts for each BIU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 1, 2021</td>
<td>Hawaii Redistricting Online available for the Commission and the public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 6, 2021</td>
<td>Email to PACOM requesting clarification on the June 2, 2020 data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- no response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 6, 2021</td>
<td>Email exchange with U.S. Census</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- U.S. Census does not identify permanent or non-permanent residents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 7, 2021</td>
<td>Email exchange with DBEDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- data on military personnel and their dependent tend to vary by the source of information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Timeline to Determine Hawaii Population Base - 2021**

**Non-Permanent Residents - Military**

Criteria 1: Non-Permanent Residents  
Criteria 2: Counted as residents in the P.L. 94-171 census data

**Q1:** How many military sponsors who declare a state of legal residence outside Hawaii were living in Hawaii on Census Day?  
**Q2:** How many of those military sponsor's dependents were living in Hawaii on Census Day?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Activity/Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 27, 2021</td>
<td>Receive data set of PACOM military sponsors from Commissioner Kennedy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 8, 2021</td>
<td>Receive second data set of PACOM military sponsors and dependents from Commissioner Kennedy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 15, 2021</td>
<td>Email to PACOM were dependents in November 8, 2021 data all living in Hawaii on Census Day?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 19, 2021</td>
<td>Zoom meeting with PACOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 3, 2021</td>
<td>Email exchange with PACOM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- will work with DMDC to provide as accurate information as possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 7, 2021</td>
<td>PACOM agrees to re-submit our request and provide data by 12/21/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 21, 2021</td>
<td>Anticipated receipt of PACOM data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VIII. PRESENTATION OF PROPOSED FINAL LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT PLANS BY THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE PERMITTED INTERACTION GROUP
The Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (not shown on map) are included as part of Congressional District 2 (CD2).

Map created December 17, 2021 Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Hawaii Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State Senate Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 0.97%

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- Changed where practicable in response to testimony and submitted plans from the public.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission’s September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
District Map: Senate 4 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
Maui Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State Senate Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 0.85%

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- No changes.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission's September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
Map created December 17, 2021. Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
District Map: Senate 7 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
Kauai Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State Senate Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 0% (only one district)

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- No changes.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission's September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
Niihau (not shown on map) is included as part of Senate 8

Proposed Final State Senate Plans

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission 2021

Map created December 17, 2021  Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Oahu Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State Senate Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 7.93%

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- No changes.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission's September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
District Map: Senate 11 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: Senate 15 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: Senate 17 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: Senate 18 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: Senate 20 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
Hawaii Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State House Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 4.36%

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- Changed where practicable in response to testimony and submitted plans from the public.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission’s September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
Hawaii Basic Island Unit - Kailua Kona

Proposed Final State House Plans

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission 2021

Map created December 17, 2021  Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
District Map: House 3 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
Maui Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State House Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 4.46%

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- Changed where practicable in response to testimony and submitted plans from the public.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission’s September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
District Map: House 8 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 9 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 11 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
Kauai Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State House Plans

Overall (total) BIU deviation: 0.48%

Changes from the proposed plan adopted by the Commission on 10/28/2021
- No changes.

Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission’s September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
Kauai Basic Island Unit

Proposed Final State House Plans

Niihau (not shown on map) is included as part of House 16

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission 2021

Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Please note that prior to Agenda Item VIII, the Commission is expected to have received a report in relation to Agenda Item VI (i.e. Report on the Status of the Reapportionment Commission's September 2021 Request that the Military Confirm the Number of Active-Duty Sponsors with Duty Station of Hawaii but State of Legal Residence Not Hawaii by Mailing Zip and Mailing Zip Extension, and Action, If Necessary, Regarding the Permanent Resident Population Base to be Used for Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting.)

As such, the ultimate presentation for Agenda Item VIII may factor in or be impacted by the report in relation to Agenda Item VI.
Map created December 17, 2021  Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Map created December 17, 2021 Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Map created December 17, 2021  Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
District Map: House 25 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 32 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 34 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 35 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 36 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 41 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 44 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021
District Map: House 48 - to be proposed by Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on 12/22/2021