STATE OF HAWAII
REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION

NOTICE OF REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION MEETING

Date: January 28, 2022
Time: 1:00 P.M.
Place: via Video Conference or Telephone*

*Pursuant to Act 220, Session Laws of Hawaii 2021, and the Governor’s Emergency Proclamation Related to Sunshine Law In-Person Meetings, dated December 29, 2021, the Reapportionment Commission will be meeting remotely using interactive conference technology. The public may view the video and audio of the meeting through the following video conferencing link:

Video: https://zoom.us/j/95496683187

Telephone: +1 253 215 8782
+1 346 248 7799
+1 669 900 6833
+1 301 715 8592
+1 312 626 6799
+1 929 205 6099

Meeting ID: 954 9668 3187

AGENDA

I. Call to Order

II. Roll Call and Determination of a Quorum

III. Public Testimony

Individuals may submit testimony in advance of the meeting via email to reapportionment@hawaii.gov or by mail addressed to the 2021 Reapportionment Commission, c/o Scott Nago, Secretary, 802 Lehua Avenue, Pearl City, Hawaii 96782. Individuals interested in signing up to provide oral testimony at the meeting may submit their name, email, and phone number to reapportionment@hawaii.gov. Individuals may provide oral testimony at the
meeting via the above-listed video conferencing link or by calling the above-listed telephone number.

Testimony presented during the meeting will be limited to three minutes each.

IV. Reports by the Apportionment Advisory Councils

V. Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of January 26, 2022

VI. Discussion and Action on the Modified Proposed Final Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans Recommended by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group

VII. Discussion and Action on the Senate Staggered Terms Based on the Final Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans

VIII. Adjournment

If audiovisual communication cannot be maintained with all Commissioners participating in the meeting or with the public location identified above, the meeting shall be automatically recessed for up to thirty (30) minutes to allow staff to attempt to restore communication; provided, however, that this shall not apply if a member of the public is unable to maintain their own audiovisual connection to the remote public broadcast.

If audiovisual communication with all participating Commissioners can be restored, the meeting will be reconvened. If, however, audiovisual communication cannot be restored, then the meeting may be reconvened with the audio-only communication using the above-listed telephone number. Any nonconfidential visual aids brought to the meeting by Commissioners or as part of a scheduled presentation will be made publicly available on the Office of Elections website within fifteen (15) minutes after audio-only communication is established.

If it is not possible to reconvene the meeting within thirty (30) minutes after an interruption of communication and the Commission has not provided reasonable notice to the public as to how the meeting will be continued at an alternative data and time, then the meeting shall be automatically terminated.

IF YOU REQUIRE SPECIAL ASSISTANCE OR AUXILIARY AIDS AND/OR SERVICES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS OF THE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ELECTIONS AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE HEARING SO ARRANGEMENTS CAN BE MADE. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, PLEASE CALL THE OFFICE OF ELECTIONS AT 453-8683 OR 1-800-442-8683 FROM THE NEIGHBOR ISLANDS.
III. PUBLIC TESTIMONY
Aloha,

Please mirror senate district 51 to house district 51, with the border of Makapu'u Point. Thank you for listening to the community of Hawaii Kai, Waimanalo and Kailua. Please also listen to the voices of Papakolea but not dividing the community.

Mahalo,

Mialisa Otis
Aloha,

My name is Jennifer Lienhart Tsuji. I am a full-time resident of Waikoloa Village currently located in House District 7. I have lived in Waikoloa Village more than 20 years.

Thank you for your tireless work on this important project. It is, to say the least, challenging and vital to the future of our island, Waikoloa Village residents and the children of Waikoloa.

I am concerned however, that the hard work proposed for South Kohala and North Kona region of the Big Island is not taking into consideration long term planning with relation to schools, libraries, and representation.

With reference to the last reapportionment map v 1231 adopted on 1/6/2022 and posted to the website; The suggested drawing of borders is not beneficial for many projects already in the making, nor planning for the future of most of this district (proposed House district 8) population. This district is made primarily of young family’s w/children, working middle class families, and middle class retired individuals.

The DOE has not made plans for future schools (elementary or high schools), while an estimated 2500-4000 new residence are expected to move into this district within the next 10 years. As a parent who raised two children in Waikoloa, I know the elementary school in this district is completely overwhelmed and over-populated. The state is already not meeting the needs of transportation for our high school age children. If this district is split as you have proposed, Waikoloa will never be able to meet the needs of the children as the voting numbers will not bring enough influence on the House representatives of only ½ the village from either side.

The resorts share common concerns with Waikoloa as well, including but not limited to water, wastewater, environment, beach and park access, roads and highways and other infrastructure requirements like police, fire, and schools to grow with our community. These experiences are different and need to be considered on their own, separate from that of Kona. It would be detrimental to our community growth and progress to split our district.

It is my understanding that during the County Council redistricting meeting last month, the committee understood the practicality of our district not being split. They understood the need for keeping Waikoloa Village whole. As such, the committee made a point to pull those areas into a single district.

I am asking that you adjust the border between your proposed House 8 and House 7 to keep this area whole. With more in common with proposed House districts 6 and 5, your House district 7 can likely achieve a more balanced target population and common population moving the district lines south than they do moving north.

Thank you for your consideration to this matter and again thank you for your efforts to date.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Lienhart Tsuji
January 5, 2022

Dr. Mark Mugiiishi, Chair
Reapportionment Commission
% Mr. Scott Nago, Chief Election Officer
Office of Elections
802 Lehua Avenue
Pearl City, Hawaii 96782

Subject: Joint Mānoa Neighborhood Board No. 7 and Makiki/Lower Punchbowl/Tantalus Neighborhood Board No. 10
Opposition to Proposed Reapportionment Plan and Support for Alternative Plan

Aloha Chair Mugiishi, and Reapportionment Commissioners,

The Mānoa Neighborhood Board No. 7 and Makiki/Lower Punchbowl/Tantalus Neighborhood Board No. 10 each reviewed the 2021 House and Senate redistricting plans that were adopted by the Commission.

Two of our Neighborhood Boards had unanimously adopted Resolutions opposed to the previously proposed reapportionment plan. These Boards simultaneously supported an alternative plan that would respect both Makapuu Point as a natural boundary between Windward O‘ahu and East Honolulu and Ka‘ena Point between the North Shore and Leeward O‘ahu. The proposed redistricting plan mixes Windward O‘ahu and East Honolulu communities within House District 51, thereby diluting the voice of the Enchanted Lake community as well as the Hawai‘i Kai Portlock community, and negatively impacts the Native Hawaiian voice by squeezing the Waimānalo area between two dissimilar communities and replacing Enchanted Lake with Portlock which has a lower Hawaiian percentage.

Proposed House District 51 is not compact, in its original form it was barely contiguous, and unnecessarily divides the Hawai‘i Kai and Enchanted Lake communities. The same rationale also applies to Senate District 25 (Windward O‘ahu) and Senate District 9 (East Honolulu) where Senate District 25 unnecessarily mixes Windward O‘ahu and East Honolulu communities.

An alternative redistricting plan (known as the “Hicks Plan”) that uses both Makapuu Point and Ka‘ena Point as natural boundaries for House and Senate districts demonstrates that it is possible to keep more communities intact, reduce the population deviation between districts, and in particular, not mix Windward Oahu and East Honolulu communities within a legislative district. On December 18, 2021 the Reapportionment Commission posted a final proposed plan that changed the boundaries of 30 of the 35 O‘ahu House districts. These maps are time stamped as being last modified at 10:38 on December 17, 2021, meaning they were finalized before the O‘ahu Advisory Council, which is chartered by the Hawai‘i Constitution to serve in an advisory capacity to the Reapportionment Commission, had conducted a quorum meeting and provided its recommendations which include using Makapuu Point as a boundary. The significant Hawai‘i Kai, Waimānalo, and Kailua issues with the Reapportionment Commission’s original plan approved on October 28, 2021 for public review were made even worse with the final plan! Hawai‘i Kai is split even more. Please look at the following maps and ask which is better?

Mahalo nui loa for considering the Boards’ testimony. Should you have any questions, please contact the Board Chairs by email.

Sincerely,
Addendum:

At the 1/20/2022 Regular Meeting of the Neighborhood Meeting of the Makiki/Lower Punchbowl/Tantalus Neighborhood Board No. 10, the Board unanimously voted to oppose any division of the Hawaiian Homestead Communities of Papakōlea, Kewalo, and Kalāwahine between districts by the Reapportionment Commission.
Puna and Hilo DO NOT belong in the same district. That’s like putting a strip club next to Disneyland. Puna is country, agriculture, solar energy, animals and gardens. Hilo is a city. Shopping malls, businesses, industrial areas. How could these two places possibly be represented in the same way? This is really, really stupid. Please help do what’s right for Puna. Mahalo for your kokua.

Sent from my iPad
Aloha

Please do not add parts of Puna to Hilo. We have very different concerns and issues, plus we have the population to justify Puna having two seats.

Mahalo

Shari Olander
15-1985 Paradise Ct.
Kea’au, HI

Sent from my iPhone
Aloha.

My name is Selene Wayne. I've been a resident on the island since 2002 and have lived in Hawaiian Acres since 2005. My husband was born and raised here, was a Pahoa Dagger, and has been employed with a small concrete business for over a decade. I worked at Pahoa Natural Groceries turned Island Naturals Pahoa for almost ten years and am now self-employed while trying to navigate pandemic schooling with our two children. I explain all of this to illustrate that we were here before the roundabouts and shopping centers, before the road was widened, and that my husband remembers trucks full of sugar cane going by Luquin’s when it was still part of the Pahoa boardwalk.

The 2020 Census showed us that Hawaii Island has definitely grown, and now legislative maps are being redrawn to better serve the residents. According to Island, State and Federal laws, there are certain criteria that should guide the reapportionment committee’s decisions. In short, 1. The districts have to be on land, 2. Shouldn’t favor any person or political faction, 3. Need to be whole/ can’t be split into multiple parts, 4. Should be smaller in size rather than larger, 5. Should follow landmarks for easier border identification, 6. Should follow Senatorial districts, 7. Cannot have more than four representatives from any one district, and 8. Should take into account the socio-economic aspects of different areas on the island.

As Hawaii is the largest of the Hawaiian islands, trying to satisfy every criteria perfectly is definitely challenging, but, out of the multiple submissions on the hawaii.gov website, I believe the Boyea Map is the best option for our island. It has the least amount of population deviancy between districts which means that every district would represent close to 24,999 residents. It also follows the Senatorial district lines better than any other plan.

In addition to those aspects, the Boyea Map gives better representation to Puna, Kea’au, and Hilo. A lot has changed in the last ten years for Puna. There have been two lava eruptions, a devastating hurricane, a number of changes in laws concerning rentals and building codes that affect a great number of residents and tourists alike, and still, real estate in Puna continues to be snatched up at a rate unmatched on the island.

The Boyea Map takes into consideration these changes and plans for the future. For a long time, Puna has struggled to handle the growth in population while infrastructure and services have lagged. If current trends continue, Puna and Kea’au cannot be cut and parceled between Hilo and Ka’u for the next ten years.
Boyea’s Map is easy to read, it keeps communities whole within the districts, and allows for future growth along similar socio-economic lines. Grouping communities together for better representation should strengthen the voice of each representative which will then make the residents feel like they can be properly heard. Please reflect upon what has come before us and how it’s affected each part of the island.

The previous ten years has brought a lot of changes to Hawaii Island. Setting up our communities for success in these next ten years demands that we think forward. Since growth is inevitable, we should not be drawing lines according to what works now; lines should be drawn to make sure those who aren’t here yet can still be represented in good faith.

Thank you for considering my testimony of approval toward the Boyea Legislative Map for House Redistricting,

Selene Wayne
I have attached the testimony of myself and my husband Warren Huckabay.

Kathleen Huckabay, CPA
kdhuckabay@gmail.com
Work: 808-333-5302
Cell: 425-495-6768
Good Day

Our names are Kathleen and Warren Huckabay and are full-time residents of Waikoloa Village currently located in House District 7 and represented by David Tarnas.

We thank the members of the commission for all your hard work to date in creating a Legislative Redistricting Map that fairly represents the communities that will have 1 additional house representative.

In the latest proposed Reapportionment adopted on 1/6/2022 we are concerned that the proposed District 8 and District 7 maps cut through neighborhoods in and around the village. Not only does it separate the village from the adjacent beach communities (Waikoloa Beach and Mauna Lani Resorts), but it also separates Waikoloa Village into separate districts along Waikoloa Road and Uluwehi Street.

During the County Council redistricting process last month, the committee saw both the practicality and need of keeping the beach and Waikoloa Village communities together. This area shares common issues related to water (water wells have broken on multiple occasions), wastewater, roads and highways that safely connect the beach area up to and through Waikoloa Village to Waimea on Waikoloa Rd., environment and beach and park access and other infrastructure needs like police, fire, high school, and library in a growing community. So, they redistricted the County Council District 4 to pull all those areas in a single district enabling the communities to address those issues holistically.

These issues in Proposed District 4 are unique and different to issues in the more developed and urban Kona to the south which you have proposed in the most recent revision.

As residents of Waikoloa Village, we are concerned about the significant growth in proposed District 4 as well as the tremendous growth underway along the Kohala Coast. There are new large residential communities, workforce and affordable housing, a new hotel and timeshare resorts are underway, and many more shopping and other large-scale projects have been proposed.

We need state leaders that can holistically address and manage the specific needs of the communities in Proposed District 4 which includes connecting the communities at the beach to Waikoloa Village and further east to Waimea. So, we ask you to please reconsider and adopt Proposed District 4.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter that is so important to our community.

Signed

Kathleen and Warren Huckabay
Aloha Reapportion Commissioners,
Chairman and Technical Committee
My name is Louisa Keawe
Thank you for the opportunity that I been able to listen and understand about the Reapportion Commissioners to Redistricting Map 2022.
My apologies ,
To: Chairman and Technical Committee
I realize I either misunderstood or did not see the clear picture about what happen.
My main concern is to see the Life Accuracy for District 51 and District 18 and to fix the map and mark Makapuu point to be the boundary line.
I also support to not split Papakolea District and Mililani District to.
Thank you , for the efforts, discussions , and long hours work you've put into this 2022 Redistricting map for 2022 that will be in effect for the next 10 years.
Puna has always had the reputation, mostly well deserved, as a home for hippies, punatics etc, but the demographics have changed considerably over the last few years. Pahoa, HPP Orchidland Estates and the rest are some of the fastest growing communities in the U.S. let alone Hawaii. Through many adversities of tropical storms and destructive volcano activity, bad roads, power outages and only one main thoroughfare in and out of here, we have fought courageously and survived. Many well educated, professional, agriculturally passionate and hard working people are trying hard to bring prosperity and the capacity to thrive to this community. When considering reapportionment, please give Puna what it has earned and deserves, two (2) house district seats.

Aloha, Gene Abraham, L.Ac , Puna resident for 23 years
Hi, my name is Summer Zandovskis and I live in Waikōloa Village. I do not want to see Waikoloa split! Please reject the proposed final technical plan and consider the Boyea plan.

Sent from my iPhone
Hi,

my name is Charlotte Gore and I live in Sunset Ridge, Waikoloa Village. I don't want to see Waikoloa Village split! Please reject the proposed final technical plan and consider the Boyea plan.

Charlotte Gore
Hello,

My name is Brittany Isaac and I have been a Waikoloa Village resident on the Big Island for 33 years. Looking over the proposed redistribution of district lines, I want to make clear that it makes absolutely NO SENSE at all to split up Waikoloa Village in any way. Please reject the proposed final, it's absurd- if you've been to the island or been to our village you would instantly understand why. Please reject the final and please DO consider the Boyea plan which makes TOTAL SENSE for our actual day to day life.

Do the right and sensible thing here,
Brittany Isaac
Resident and business owner
Get Outlook for iOS
Chairman Mugiishi and Hawaii Reapportionment Commission Members,

I am submitting the following testimony for the January 28, 2022 HRC meeting.

I urge you to vote NO on the HRC Technical Committee Hawaii House District plan and NO on the Technical Committee [TC] Hawaii Senate District plan.

Why?

1. **A connectivity problem** - there is a connectivity issue in the Senate District 3 map that was reported to you by Shannon Matson on January 20, 2022. This would be an easy fix, by moving nine [9] residents from Senate D-3 into Senate D-2. There would be no significant change in the deviation numbers and it would recognize that the only access to this area is from within Senate D-2. That correction was made on the Boyea v2 House map for the corresponding House districts. The Technical Committee did not correct this issue.

2. **Failure to comply with the constitution** - As stated repeatedly by many testifiers, the HRC TC map for the Hawaii House districts does not comply with the Hawaii State Constitutional criteria.

At the HRC meeting on January 26th we heard nothing but excuses from the TC as to why they didn’t adopt any of the changes suggested by the Community Plan, Boyea v2 for the Hawaii House districts.

**Excuse #1: Public testimony**

At the aforementioned meeting Commissioner Nonaka stated whenever there is public testimony – only the opposition comes out to testify.

1. Is that the assumption he adopted while listening to all of the oral testimonies and reading all of the written testimonies?

2. Is that why he completely dismissed those testimonies?

3. That being the case wouldn’t accepting public testimony be nothing more than a dog and pony show to placate the disgruntled?
4. Is Commissioner Nonaka assuming that everyone who doesn’t testify is happy with the plan/situation?

5. Could one also assume that they just don’t care? Or that they feel testifying is a waste of time, that nothing will change?

6. Who is to say that any one of these assumptions is correct?

**Excuse #2: Opposition testimony**

Commissioner Nonaka went on to state that if you listen to the opposition, nothing will get done.

1. In relation to the Hawaii House district plan. The “opposition” presented a clear plan to get something done. A plan that meets all of the constitutional criteria for redistricting.

2. Don’t blame that “opposition” for nothing getting done. They did their homework and put many, many hours into coming up with a true Community Plan.

3. Again, given Commissioner Nonaka’s attitude, what is the point of holding these public meetings and soliciting public testimony?

**Excuse #3: Making changes**

Commissioner Nonaka stated that it would have been a major public disservice if we made changes this late.

1. A disservice to whom? Isn’t ignoring public testimony a disservice to the testifiers?

2. Again, this comment makes the whole process look like a sham. Why not just tell everyone up front – we don’t have time to make changes, don’t waste your time and ours by making requests.

3. At what point did it become too late to make changes?
The first version of the Boyea Community Plan was submitted on January 11\textsuperscript{th}. The HRC TC plan was posted on January 13\textsuperscript{th}.
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3. Small changes to the Boyea Community Plan were made on January 19\textsuperscript{th}. [Boyea v2].

4. Between January 11\textsuperscript{th} and January 25\textsuperscript{th} when the final TC plan was posted, there was ample time [14 days] to make changes, yet not a single publicly requested change for Hawaii Island was made. Not even the minor change for Senate districts 2 and 3.

5. If the HRC TC plans for Oahu, Maui and Hawaii are adopted it appears that the matter will be going to court.

6. Wouldn’t it be faster to fix the problems now, than to wait for what appears to be a very likely adverse court decision?

Nobody wants a repeat of 2011.

**Excuse #4: Incorporating House districts into Senate districts**

In answer to Commissioner Kennedy’s question as to why the TC didn’t incorporate the House districts into the Senate districts. Commissioner Nonaka replied: we would have to start all over, it’s never been done in the past, it’s an issue of timing and it would raise a whole new set of issues.

1. It has been done in the past, aren’t all House districts on Kauai wholly contained within their one Senate district?

2. Isn’t the fact that this is the first time Hawaii island has an even number of House and Senate districts a probable reason why it hadn’t been done on our island in the past?

3. This is a Hawaii State Constitutional criteria. Are the reasons stated by Commissioner Nonaka valid reasons to ignore this criteria? This is one of three constitutional criteria that were not met by the TC plan. All seven criteria were met by the Boyea Community Plan.
4. Again the Boyea Community Plan was first submitted on January 11th. There was plenty of time to assess this plan and resolve any issues.

5. There have been six [6] HRC meetings in fifteen [15] days since January 11th. What issues have been identified regarding placing January 27, 2022
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the eight [8] House districts into the four [4] Senate districts on the island of Hawaii? Have a whole set of new issues been identified?

6. It sounds to me that these reasons are just excuses for not listening to the public outcry against the TC plan for the Hawaii House districts.


8. Is anyone on the Commission convinced that these are valid reasons to ignore the Constitution?

**Excuse #5: It can’t be done**

Commissioner Kennedy then replied that the Boyea plan showed that it could be done. [The incorporation of the House districts into the Senate districts].

Commissioner Nonaka replied that there was no public input on those maps, we would have to start all over again.

1. Is it becoming clear? The real reason for not giving consideration to the community’s plan was because it would inconvenience the TC and the HRC by having to start all over again.

2. If they had given real consideration to the Boyea Community Plan from the time it was submitted, on January 11th, there would have been plenty of time to start over again in time for the submission of the final plan to the Commission on January 25th. Fourteen [14] days, two whole weeks.

3. No public input? Why does Commissioner Nonaka feel there was no opportunity for public input on our maps yet there was time for public input on the Commission’s maps?

   Our map was submitted on January 11th

   The Commission map was posted on January 13th.
5. The public had access to both maps. As documented in my previous testimony, as of January 22nd forty-seven [47] people provided written testimony in support of our Community Plan. I have not yet counted the additional oral testifiers who supported our plan. And these numbers don’t even take into account the endorsement of our plan by the Hawaii County Committee of the Hawaii Democratic Party. Nor do they take into account the testimony of Hawaii Advisory Council Chair Steve Pavao that the Boyea Community Plan is “practicable” and it does a good job of meeting our community needs.

Note: At the January 26th meeting Mr. Pavao corrected the statement attributed to him in the proposed minutes for the January 20th meeting. After that testimony was given by Mr. Pavao, the Hawaii Advisory Council Chair, the Commission went on to approve the January 20th minutes without making that correction.

6. Doesn’t the input of over fifty [50] people show that there was public input on our map?

Your Oath

When you were sworn in as Hawaii Reapportionment Commission members you swore an oath to uphold the Hawaii State Constitution.

If the reasons stated by the Technical Committee are the real reasons for not giving proper consideration to the Hawaii House district plan submitted by me on behalf of our community, then does anyone on the HRC believe the constitutional criteria have been followed?

Will the reasons given by the Technical Committee stand up in court?

If your answer to either of those two questions is “no”. Then you have an obligation to vote “NO” on the plans submitted by the Technical Committee. Please honor your oath.

Sincerely,

Ralph Boyea
To whom it may concern,

My name is Cathy L. Klarin and my husband is Robert G. Klarin. We have lived in Waikoloa Village as homeowners for over thirty years. We DO NOT want to see Waikoloa Village split! Please reject the proposed final technical plan and consider the Boyea plan! We are strongly opposed to splitting our Village and hope you will resolve this quickly on behalf of all our residents including our children and their children.

Sincerely,

The Klarin Ohana

Keller Williams Realty Maui
Big Island Division
Luckytolivealoha@gmail.com
Luckytolivealoha.kw.com
808-557-9727
I’m opposed to Waikoloa being split up.
Hi, my name is Jessica Kiech and I live in Waikoloa Village. I don't want to see Waikoloa Village split!

In your current map, not only do you disconnect the adjacent beach communities from Waikoloa Village, but you sever part of Waikoloa Village itself into a separate House District along Waikoloa Road and Uluwehi Street.

It is essential that the Waikoloa Beach and Waikoloa Village areas are represented by one district -- we need state leaders that can holistically tackle the specific needs of our district and understand the unique set of challenges, opportunities, and issues for the area which includes Waikoloa Village, Waikoloa Beach Resort, and adjacent Mauna Lani Resort “territory”. This area shares common concerns with water, waste water, environment, beach and park access, roads and highways, and other infrastructure requirements like police, fire, and schools to grow with a growing community. And these issues are unique and different to those experienced in a more developed Kona area to the south.

Please reject the proposed final technical plan and consider the Boyea plan.

Sincerely,

Jessica Kiech
Please do not split Waikoloa Village in the new reapportionment plan. That is totally absurd!

Rob Reschan
Waikoloa Village homeowner

Sent from my iPad
I’m taking a moment to email those who it may concern. It seems sudden and drastic to consider dividing up Waikoloa Village. As a former teacher and a home owner in the village, I wonder the effects of reapportioning on families. As of now, I am against the redistricting of Waikoloa Village.

Thanks for your consideration.

Debra Nolen

Sent from my iPhone
Aloha,

My name is Andrew Carter and I reside in Waikoloa Village on the Big Island. I am writing to urge you NOT to split up Waikoloa Village. The current final technical plan for the House splits our community in half (between proposed districts 7 and 8). We are an unified community and to split up our representation would be detrimental to our community. I urge you to reconsider this split and consider the Boyea plan as a viable alternative.

Mahalo,

Andrew Carter
January 27, 2022

Aloha Reapportionment Commission Chair Mugiishi and Members,

I am Lisa Bishop, a resident of Oahu. Mahalo for the opportunity to testify today.

I respectfully request that the concerns of Pearl City, Papakōlea, Mililani and Puna be resolved to the satisfaction of the residents of those areas.

I also request that the Oahu Senate Districts respect that Makapu'u Point be the dividing line between Oahu Senate Districts 9 and 25.

My testimony today further generally addresses the Technical Committee’s continued blatant abuse of discretion in disregarding the Hawaii State Constitution, and the wishes of Oahu and Hawaii Island residents, to have each State Senate District be comprised of only two House Districts. There is no substantive reason not to do it. Oahu and Big Island community or people’s plans submitted to the Commission demonstrate that it is clearly practicable to do so. The Technical Committee is choosing not to live up to their oath to abide by our State Constitution. We have demonstrated that it can and should be done.

Hawai’i residents do not expect that the redistricting plans will please everyone. However, we do expect them to comply with the State Constitution. Only four of the Commissioners are part of the Permitted Interaction Group framework that allows the Technical Committee to deliberate in secret. Those Commissioners have therefore been greatly excluded from this secretive process used by the PIG that avoids the use of the Sunshine Law. I hope after hearing all the public testimony and seeing how the Technical Committee has disrespected so much of it, that you will have the courage to vote against the Technical Committee’s proposed plan because it matters.

Mahalo,

Lisa Bishop
Oahu resident, tax payer, home owner, and voter
V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF JANUARY 26, 2022
Pursuant to Act 220, Session Laws of Hawaii 2021, and the Governor's Emergency Proclamation Related to the COVID-19 response, the Reapportionment Commission will be meeting remotely using interactive conference technology. This meeting was recorded and has been posted on the Office of Elections website at elections.hawaii.gov.

Commissioners in Attendance:
   Mark Mugiishi, Chair
   Calvert Chipchase IV
   Grant Chun
   Robin Kennedy
   Charlotte Nekota
   Randall Nishimura
   Dylan Nonaka
   Diane Ono

Staff in Attendance:
   Royce Jones
   Scott Nago
   David Rosenbrock
   Lori Tanigawa

Testifiers in Attendance:
   Kimeona Kane
   Ralph Boyea
   Mary Smart
   Kapua Medeiros
   Bart Dame
   Lisa Bishop
   Ingrid Peterson
   Shannon Matson
   Homelani Schaedel
   Ariel Murphy
   Selene Wayne
PROCEEDINGS

I. Call to Order

Chair Mugiishi called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m.

II. Roll Call and Determination of Quorum

Reapportionment Commission Secretary, Scott Nago, conducted a roll call. All members of the Reapportionment Commission were present at the start of the meeting, with the exception of Commissioner Nishimura, Commissioner Nonaka, and Commissioner Rathbun. The Commission had a quorum.

III. Public Testimony

Chair Mugiishi addressed housekeeping matters related to conducting the meeting by video and telephone. He reminded testifiers that if technical issues arise, testifiers would be given a moment to resolve their issues. If the problems cannot be resolved, the Commission would move on to the next testifier. He asked that those wishing to testify raise their hand via the Zoom reactions feature or press *9 if joining by phone. He asked testifiers to state their first and last names and their testifying items for the record.

Kimeona Kane testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans for Senate District 25 for presentation on Agenda Item IX and regarding the dissemination of the updated maps.

Ralph Boyea testified in opposition to the reapportionment and redistricting plans proposed by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group, in support of the reapportionment and redistricting plans he submitted, and in opposition to the meeting minutes from January 20, January 21, and January 22.

Commissioner Nonaka was acknowledged as present at 1:10 p.m.

Mary Smart testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans for the community of Mililani on
the island of Oahu for presentation on Agenda Item IX and regarding the dissemination of the updated maps.

**Kapua Medeiros** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans for Senate District 25 for presentation on Agenda Item IX and regarding the dissemination of the updated maps.

**Bart Dame** testified providing comments on the constitutional criteria governing reapportionment.

**Lisa Bishop** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans regarding disseminating the updated maps.

**Ingrid Peterson** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans.

**Shannon Matson** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans and in support of the reapportionment and redistricting plans submitted by Ralph Boyea and Bill Hicks.

**Homelani Schaedel** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans for the community of Maluohai Hawaiian Home Land in Kapolei on the island of Oahu.

**Ariel Murphy** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans and in support of the reapportionment and redistricting plans submitted by Ralph Boyea.

**Selene Wayne** testified in support of the reapportionment and redistricting plans submitted by Ralph Boyea.

**Becky Gardner** testified in opposition to disseminating the updated maps and the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans.

**Bill Hicks** testified in opposition to the Further Modified Final Legislative Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans and provided comments on the constitutional criteria governing reapportionment.
IV. **Reports by the Apportionment Advisory Councils**

Chair Mugiishi explained that the Reapportionment Commission had invited each Advisory Council – Hawaii, Maui, Kauai, and Oahu, to provide feedback on the redistricting matters for each basic island unit. The Reapportionment Commission has planned to allow each Advisory Council to present at the Commission meetings.

Steven Pavao, Chair of the Hawaii Advisory Council, stated that he was misrepresented in the meeting minutes of January 20, 2022. He restated while the Hawaii Advisory Council did not endorse a specific plan, the Council did acknowledge that the reapportionment and redistricting plans submitted by Ralph Boyea are good and did a good job of keeping communities intact. He further stated the plans submitted by Ralph Boyea did an excellent job of showing that it was practicable to keep two House Districts per Senate District and practicable to keep similar communities together.

V. **Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of January 13, 2022**

Commissioner Nekota made a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting of January 13, 2022, which was seconded by Commissioner Nishimura and approved unanimously by the Commission noting the excused absence of Commissioner Rathbun.

VI. **Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of January 20, 2022**

Commissioner Chun made a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting of January 20, 2022, which was seconded by Commissioner Ono and approved unanimously by the Commission noting the excused absence of Commissioner Rathbun.

VII. **Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of January 21, 2022**

Commissioner Nekota made a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting of January 21, 2022, which was seconded by Commissioner Kennedy and approved unanimously by the Commission noting the excused absence of Commissioner Rathbun.

VIII. **Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of January 22, 2022**

Commissioner Nekota made a motion to approve the minutes for the meeting of January 22, 2022, which was seconded by Commissioner Ono
and approved unanimously by the Commission noting the excused absence of Commissioner Rathbun.

IX. Potential Presentation of Further Modified Proposed Final Legislative Reapportionment Plans by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group

Chair Mugiishi introduced Project Manager David Rosenbrock and GIS Project Support Royce Jones, who presented a summary of the Congressional and Legislative Reapportionment Plans proposed by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group.

Commissioner Nonaka explained the modification made to Representative Districts 48 and 49, describing it as a near equal trade in population to serve the community of Kaneohe. He added that the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group would look at the area in Kapolei presented by testifier Homelani Schaedel for Maluohai Hawaiian Home Land. He expressed that he is an active community member, so he receives feedback about the reapportionment and redistricting plans in many ways. He further noted that in his experience, without discounting the testimony the Commission received, testimony is generally provided by those in opposition and that there would likely always be opposition to any proposed plan. He stated his belief that the Commission should approve the plan and thanked the Commission for their time and effort; specifically, the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group. He acknowledged that the timing of the maps was due to scheduling with the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group and that the new maps were released as quickly as possible.

Mugiishi added gratitude to the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group for meeting and getting out the maps as quickly as possible. He also restated that the end goal of the reapportionment and redistricting plan was not perfect maps but for the Office of Elections to conduct the 2022 Elections.

X. Discussion and Action on the Modified Proposed Final Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans Recommended by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group on January 13, 2022

Commissioner Kennedy asked Commissioner Nonaka to re-explain the thought process of the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group and how further changes can be made. Commissioner Nonaka responded that what Commissioner Kennedy is asking for is a redrawing of the maps,
which would create an additional separate set of issues to contend with in a short amount of time. Commissioner Kennedy asked if the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group could use the community plans as a starting point to reconsider the maps. Commissioner Nonaka answered that the maps have not been subject to public testimony. He restated that it would be starting the process over to redraw the maps and allow public testimony. Chair Mugiishi added that the Commission discussed how the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group had followed the constitutional criteria at the previous meetings.

Commissioner Ono asked how last-minute changes could be incorporated into the adopted final plans. Project Manager David Rosenbrock explained that the Reapportionment Project Office would ask the Commission to allow the staff to make minor adjustments to the maps. Chair Mugiishi suggested that the Commission convene in Executive Session to consult with its attorney for agenda item X pursuant to HRS §92-2.5(a)(4).

Commissioner Ono asked for clarification on the area for review, noting it as a possible change, presented by testifier Homelani Schaedel. Homelani Schaedel provided the cross streets for Maluohai Hawaiian Home Lands.

Commissioner Nekota made a motion to enter into executive session to consult with the Commission’s attorney pursuant to HRS 92-2.5(a)(4) for agenda item X, which was seconded by Commissioner Ono and approved unanimously by the Commission noting the excused absence of Commissioner Rathbun.

The Commission went into Executive Session at 2:14 p.m. and returned to regular session at 2:52 p.m.

During the meeting, Commissioner Nonaka used the Hawaii Redistricting Online application to review the division of Maluohai Hawaiian Home Lands raised by testifier Homelani Schaedel. He explained that this change would require trading approximately 900 residents between Representative District 42 and 43. He stated that due to the density of Kapolei and the shape of the Census block, it would be difficult as there would be significant adjustments to the maps. He expressed given the time constraints, he would support moving forward with the proposed maps without further changes.

Commissioner Ono expressed her appreciation to the public for providing testimony and attending the Commission meetings. She recognized that the testifiers care deeply about the communities. She noted that the
Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group and the Commission heard their testimony and deliberated the maps and constitutional criteria. She expressed that they did their best to balance the constitutional criteria to meet the needs of the districts.

XI. Discussion and Action on the Senate Staggered Terms Based on the Final Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment and Redistricting Plans

Chair Mugiishi clarified that the Commission would not vote to adopt the Senate Staggered Terms at this meeting and would wait until the final reapportionment and redistricting plans are adopted.

GIS Project Support Royce Jones presented the calculations for the Senate Staggered Terms.

Commissioner Nonaka noted that the calculation is objective and fair. GIS Project Support Royce Jones clarified that it is based on the number of permanent residents.

Chair Mugiishi restated that the Commission would take action on Senate Staggered Terms after adopting the final reapportionment and redistricting plan.

XII. Adjournment

Commissioner Nekota made a motion to adjourn the meeting, which was seconded by Commissioner Ono and approved unanimously by the Commission noting the excused absence of Commissioner Rathbun. The meeting was adjourned at 3:02 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT T. NAGO
Secretary to the Reapportionment Commission
VI. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ON THE MODIFIED PROPOSED FINAL LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT AND REDISTRICTING PLANS RECOMMENDED BY THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE PERMITTED INTERACTION GROUP
The Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (not shown on map) are included as part of Congressional District 2 (CD2)
Hawaii Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State Senate Plan

4 State Senate Districts
199,990   Hawaii Permanent Resident Population
49,998    Ideal (Target) District Population

0.57%   Highest district deviation    (S2)
-0.40%  Lowest district deviation    (S4)

0.97%    Overall (total) deviation

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.
Hawaii Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State House Plan

8 State House Districts
199,990  Hawaii Permanent Resident Population
24,999  Ideal (Target) District Population

1.94%  Highest district deviation  (H5)
-2.80%  Lowest district deviation  (H7)

4.74%  Overall (total) deviation

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.
Proposed Final State Senate Plan

Maui Basic Island Unit

- 3 State Senate Districts
- 164,539 Hawaii Permanent Resident Population
- 54,846 Ideal (Target) District Population

- 0.50% Highest district deviation (S5)
- -0.39% Lowest district deviation (S7)

Overall (total) deviation: 0.89%

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.

Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
District Map: Senate 6 - Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final Maui State Senate Plan on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022
Maui Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State House Plan

6 State House Districts (renumbered)
164,539  Hawaii Permanent Resident Population
27,423   Ideal (Target) District Population

2.63%   Highest district deviation (H9)
-1.81%  Lowest district deviation (H10)

4.44%   Overall (total) deviation

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.

Map created January 27, 2022  Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.
Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final State Senate Plan
on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022

Kauai Basic Island Unit

Nilhau (not shown on map) is included as part of Senate 8

Map created January 25, 2022

Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Kauai Basic Island Unit

Proposed Final State House Plan

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Oahu Basic Island Unit

Proposed Final State Senate Plan

The Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (not shown on map) are included as part of Senate 25

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission 2021-22

Oahu Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State Senate Plan

17 State Senate Districts
910,521  Hawaii Permanent Resident Population
53,560  Ideal (Target) District Population

2.43%  Highest district deviation  (S14)
-2.50%  Lowest district deviation  (S24)

4.93%  Overall (total) deviation

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.
The Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (not shown on map) are included as part of Senate 25.

Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final State Senate Plan
on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022

Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Map created January 25, 2022  Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
Oahu Basic Island Unit - Ewa Kapolei Makakilo

Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final State Senate Plan
on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission 2021-22

Map created January 25, 2022
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
District Map: Senate 11 - Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final Oahu State Senate Plan on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022
District Map: Senate 19 - Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final Oahu State Senate Plan on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022
District Map: Senate 24 - Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final Oahu State Senate Plan on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022
Oahu Basic Island Unit - Proposed Final State House Plan

34 State House Districts
910,521 Hawaii Permanent Resident Population
26,780 Ideal (Target) District Population

1.54% Highest district deviation (H26)
-2.51% Lowest district deviation (H48)

4.05% Overall (total) deviation

This proposed plan will be presented by the Technical Committee Permitted Interaction Group to the Commission on Jan. 26, 2022.

Population base is the new Hawaii Permanent Resident Population Base v1231 approved by the Hawaii Reapportionment Commission on Jan. 6, 2022.
Oahu Basic Island Unit - Pearl City Waipahu

Jan. 26, 2022 Proposed Final State House Plan
on v1231 Hawaii Population Base adopted January 6, 2022

Hawaii Reapportionment Commission 2021-22

Map created January 25, 2022
Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/

Additional information and maps, including online interactive maps, are available on the Commission website at: https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/reapportionment/
VII. DISCUSSION AND ACTION ON THE SENATE STAGGERED TERMS BASED ON THE FINAL LEGISLATIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT AND REDISTRICTING PLANS
Hawaii State Senate Staggered Terms - sorted by term years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Number</th>
<th>District Population</th>
<th>2020 Regular Election Population</th>
<th>2020 Regular Election Population%</th>
<th>2022 Election Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50,019</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>49,887</td>
<td>2,676</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49,800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>54,788</td>
<td>3,775</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>54,630</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>53,818</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>54,371</td>
<td>4,879</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>52,289</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>54,664</td>
<td>13,827</td>
<td>25.3%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>52,438</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>52,473</td>
<td>2,973</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>52,220</td>
<td>11,163</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
<td>2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50,284</td>
<td>50,284</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>55,121</td>
<td>55,121</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>73,004</td>
<td>73,004</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>52,970</td>
<td>52,970</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53,615</td>
<td>50,064</td>
<td>93.4%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>53,613</td>
<td>47,169</td>
<td>88.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>54,558</td>
<td>54,370</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>54,862</td>
<td>54,862</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>54,757</td>
<td>46,063</td>
<td>84.1%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>52,349</td>
<td>41,593</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>54,408</td>
<td>54,408</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>54,732</td>
<td>52,631</td>
<td>96.2%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>52,384</td>
<td>50,983</td>
<td>97.3%</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>