



MICHAEL CURTIS
ELECTIONS COMMISSION CHAIR

**STATE OF HAWAII
ELECTIONS COMMISSION**

**MINUTES OF THE
REGULAR MEETING OF THE
ELECTIONS COMMISSION**

December 3, 2025 at 10:00 AM

Pursuant to Section 92-3.7, Hawaii Revised Statutes, the Elections Commission met remotely using interactive conference technology. The video of the meeting may be viewed on our website at: <https://elections.hawaii.gov/about-us/boards-and-commissions/elections-commission/>.

Commissioners in Attendance:

Michael Curtis, Chair
Dylan Andrion
James Apana
Ralph Cushnie
Lindsay Kamm
Jeffrey Osterkamp
Kahiolani Papalimu
John Sabas

Support Staff in Attendance:

Jordan Ching, Department of the Attorney General
Scott T. Nago, Office of Elections
Nicole Noel, Office of Elections
Aaron Schulaner, Office of Elections
Aulii Tenn, Office of Elections

PROCEEDINGS

I. Call to order [10:00 AM]

The regular meeting of the Elections Commission was called to order by Chair Curtis.

II. Roll call and determination of a quorum [10:01 AM]

All members of the Elections Commission were present at the meeting.

III. Meeting minutes [10:01 AM]

a) Approval of written minutes from the October 29, 2025 meeting

Nancy Moser provided testimony asking the Commission to enforce clear rules and curb disruptive behavior so public meetings can be more orderly and focused.

Austin Martin provided testimony arguing that public comment on meeting minutes is unnecessary and suggesting the Commission move on to substantive agenda items instead.

Robert Duerr provided testimony stating that the meeting minutes should note his concern that counties lacked required procedures, testing, observer access, and audits for mail-sort machines when they were introduced.

Tara Rojas provided testimony arguing that public comment on the minutes is necessary because past minutes and meetings have been inaccurate, poorly run, and not transparent, and she urged the public to continue holding the Commission accountable.

Commissioner Cushnie stated that he would vote against the motion, expressing concern that the minutes are not accurately recorded and that important details are being omitted.

Commissioner Apana moved to approve the written minutes from the October 29, 2025 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Sabas and **carried**. [10:12 AM]

YES: Apana, Kamm, Osterkamp, Sabas, Curtis

NO: Andrion, Cushnie, Papalimu

IV. Discussions relating to Permitted Interaction Groups (PIG) [10:14 AM]

a) Former Commissioner Young's PIG relating to Kauai County compliance and discrepancies in ballot counts

b) Commissioner Andrion's PIG relating to Maui County chain of custody

- c) Commissioner Osterkamp's PIG relating to Hawaii County election result discrepancies
- d) Formation of a Permitted Interaction Group to work with the County Clerks regarding chain of custody and daily reports

Judith Mills-Wong provided testimony opposing a return to in-person Election Day voting due to accessibility and voter-information concerns, and she supported improving chain-of-custody procedures while rejecting ballot counting at drop boxes and encouraging more voter service center locations.

Laurie Tanner provided testimony defending mail-in voting as reliable and essential for accessibility, arguing that ballot issues stem from training and oversight rather than the mail-in system itself, and urging the Commission not to abandon mail-in voting without a feasible alternative.

Austin Martin provided testimony criticizing mail-in voting and recent election changes as insecure and politically manipulated, claiming widespread fraud and urging a return to more traditional, in-person election practices.

Jennifer Hunt provided testimony claiming there is no reliable chain of custody for mailed or drop-box ballots, arguing that expanded mail-in voting enables fraud, and urging a return to a single in-person Election Day as the only accountable system.

Corey Harden provided testimony supporting mail-in voting, citing its reliability during disasters, the pandemic, and other obstacles to in-person voting, and arguing that claims of widespread fraud are unfounded.

Shana Kukila provided testimony strongly supporting mail-in voting, arguing it is essential for accessibility in Hawai'i County and that election problems stem from accountability issues between counties and the state, not from the mail-in system itself.

Samuel Takara provided testimony supporting mail-in voting, explaining that it reduces financial and mobility burdens for him and his elderly parents and offers a more practical way for them to participate in elections.

Nolan Chang provided testimony urging the Commission to show independent judgment and improve transparency, integrity, and accountability in Hawaii's electoral process.

Brennon Cabral provided testimony sharing his perspective as a disabled individual, expressing concerns about fairness, accessibility, and how certain processes affect people with disabilities.

Jaerick Medeiros provided testimony urging the Commission to return election administration to the counties, reduce reliance on statewide mail-in voting, and support more in-person voting to prevent perceived problems with ballot handling.

Jamie Detwiler provided testimony urging the Commission to terminate the Chief Elections Officer for alleged noncompliance with ballot-accounting laws and to improve voter roll maintenance and election integrity measures.

Keoni Payton provided testimony supporting a return to in-person voting, arguing that disability accommodations already exist under law and calling for greater election transparency and leadership changes.

Wendy N. provided testimony urging a return to traditional in-person voting, arguing that mail-in voting has created unnecessary problems, increased costs, and false claims about disenfranchising disabled voters.

Ann Marie Hamilton provided testimony supporting the elimination of voting machines and universal mail-in voting, arguing that unverifiable proprietary software undermines election transparency and public trust.

Doug Pasnik provided testimony urging the elimination of universal mail-in voting, arguing that it expands chain-of-custody risks, while emphasizing that absentee voting would remain fully available and that in-person precinct voting would improve ballot accountability and public confidence.

Representative Garner Shimizu provided testimony citing the PIG report's findings of unresolved ballot-handling discrepancies, urging accountability, audits, and clear explanations from state and county election officials to restore public confidence.

Jennifer Cabjuan provided testimony expressing frustration about recurring election procedure issues, calling for better public education on absentee voting, supporting further investigations, criticizing drop-box security, and urging officials to follow existing rules.

Wallyn Christian provided testimony supporting in-person voting, calling for improved voting center access, maintaining absentee voting for those who need it, expressing concerns about drop boxes and voting machines, and urging the Commission to strengthen election procedures for public trust.

Michelle Stefanik provided testimony stating that the PIG report is invalid, claiming the current election process lacks transparency and accountability based on her experience as an observer, urging a return to in-person voting with more polling places, calling for improved chain-of-custody procedures, and requesting changes in election leadership.

Rita Kama-Kimura provided testimony stating that she supports returning to the previous in-person voting system while maintaining absentee voting, expressing concern about voter misunderstanding of absentee options, inconsistencies in ballot handling, and limitations placed on poll watchers.

Susan Strom provided testimony arguing for a return to one-day in-person voting, expressing concerns about mail-in ballot vulnerabilities, urging the creation of an Oahu PIG, and raised concerns about transparency and conflict-of-interest regarding Commissioners.

Junya Nakoa provided testimony urging Commissioners to follow through on the direction of the community, and to stop revisiting the same issues without taking action.

Kyle Daniel provided testimony opposing the recommendation to return to in-person Election Day voting and urging the Commission to improve and secure the existing mail-in system rather than eliminate it.

Megeso William-Denis provided testimony calling for one-day in-person voting with ID, no machines, and limited absentee exceptions while criticizing mail-in voting and urging new leadership to restore election integrity.

Tara Malia Gregory provided testimony supporting one-day in-person voting with voter ID, arguing that universal mail-in voting is unsafe compared to verified absentee ballots, citing unaccounted ballots as evidence of systemic failure, and urging accountability for election officials.

Tara Rojas provided testimony urging the Commission to listen to recurring concerns raised by testifiers, aligning herself with previous speakers and asking Commissioners to act accordingly.

Laura Nakanelua provided testimony criticizing the Commission for failing to address election concerns, expressing support for in-person voting, and arguing that problems with transparency, legal compliance, and ballot handling undermine public confidence.

Victoria Thompson provided testimony supporting more accessible and secure voting options, emphasizing the need for better authentication, improved polling resources, technological solutions, and stronger accountability for election officials.

Enoka-Shayne Bingo provided testimony criticizing the Commission for blocking investigations into disputed ballot counts, accusing members of gatekeeping, failing to act on public concerns, and refusing to support motions aimed at restoring voter confidence.

A testifier identified only as “iPhone” provided testimony urging the Commission to follow Sunshine Law requirements, criticizing limits on Commissioner questions, and requesting that Chief Election Officer (CEO) Scott Nago withdraw due to repeated transparency failures.

Andrea Rodgers provided testimony supporting a return to neighborhood precinct voting and argued that eliminating local in-person sites disenfranchises community members, including those with disabilities who rely on nearby polling places.

Shelby Billionaire provided testimony claiming that mail-in voting has major chain-of-custody problems in Honolulu and Maui and urged the Commission to address these issues and support the PIG’s efforts.

Commissioner Kamm outlined options for pursuing an election audit, including finding a legislative sponsor, requesting action from the state auditor, or submitting a report to the governor. The Chair noted that the state auditor could initiate an audit independently and discussed beginning with Kauai to establish a process. Commissioners then debated whether the audit should start with Kauai, the Big Island, or cover the entire state, with Commissioner Cushnie citing concerns about reported ballot envelope discrepancies and Commissioner Osterkamp disputing those claims. Commissioner Papalimu supported beginning with the Big Island as the Commission worked toward finalizing the motion.

Commissioner Kamm moved that the Elections Commission transmit the findings of the 2025 Permitted Interaction Groups and the Commission’s October 1, 2025 audit motion to the state auditor and to ask that he conduct an audit of the 2024 General Election as soon as possible. The motion was further amended to have the state auditor begin the audit with the County of Hawaii. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Cushnie and **carried**. [12:09 PM]

YES: Andrion, Apana, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Osterkamp, Curtis

Commissioner Cushnie argued that reported discrepancies involving 19,000 Big Island mail ballots supported beginning the audit there and raised a point of order regarding limits on his discussion; Chair Curtis clarified that the amended motion directed the audit to begin with the Big Island while still covering the entire state.

Commissioner Cushnie moved to amend the motion, that the Elections Commission transmit the findings of the 2025 Permitted Interaction Groups and the Commission's October 1, 2025 audit motion to the state auditor and to ask that he conduct an audit of the 2024 General Election as soon as possible, to begin the audit with the County of Hawaii. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Papalimu and **carried**. [12:14 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Apana, Osterkamp, Curtis

Commissioner Cushnie argued that because Hawaii is a mandatory vote-by-mail state, reliable mail tracking is essential, and he cited findings from all three PIG reports showing missing USPS records, unexplained increases in envelope counts, and incomplete chain-of-custody documentation, including an alleged 19,000-ballot discrepancy on the Big Island. He stated these issues could indicate serious violations and urged referring all three PIG reports to the U.S. Department of Justice for independent investigation.

Commissioner Cushnie moved that the Elections Commission refer all three Permitted Interaction Group reports to the United States Department of Justice and formally request a federal investigation into possible mail fraud and related irregularities in the handling of ballot envelopes during the 2024 Election. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kamm and **failed**. [12:24 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu

NO: Apana, Osterkamp, Sabas, Curtis

Commissioner Andrion stated that while he supports the motion, he and other Commissioners could seek clarification directly from the county clerk if it doesn't pass. Commissioner Sabas opposed the motion, calling it premature and suggesting the Commission first speak with the Maui County Clerk's office. Commissioner Cushnie argued the motion is justified, citing a UIPA response and prior correspondence indicating Maui lacks required election records. Commissioner Apana opposed the motion as redundant but noted he has

already spoken with the current and three former county clerks, all of whom are willing to meet with the Commission.

Commissioner Cushnie moved to form a PIG to investigate why the County of Maui has no chain of custody records. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Andrion and **failed**. [12:31 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu

NO: Apana, Osterkamp, Sabas, Curtis

Commissioner Cushnie reiterated concerns from the audit report regarding thousands of ballots reportedly received through the mail without corresponding USPS business-reply-mail receipts, and he questioned whether the state or counties could face liability for unpaid postage. Commissioner Osterkamp disputed Cushnie's interpretation, stating the report does not claim the county failed to pay for envelopes. Commissioners Apana and Papalimu sought clarity on whether liability exists if no bill was ever received and whether Commissioners themselves have any fiduciary exposure. The Commissioners discussed asking the Attorney General directly, and the Deputy Attorney General advised that he could only provide written guidance if the Commission formally requests it.

Commissioner Cushnie moved to ask the Attorney General that since more than more than 19,000 ballots were reported as received through the mail yet no postage was paid to the federal government and no USPS business reply mail receipts exist to document their delivery, does knowingly certifying those ballots without paying the required federal postage expose the counties or the state to liability under federal law. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Andrion and **failed**. [12:51 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Papalimu

NO: Apana, Kamm, Osterkamp, Curtis

ABSTAIN: Sabas

Commissioner Cushnie argued the Commission should directly request USPS records because no one has contacted the Post Office and the public expects accountability. Commissioner Kamm supported the request, saying the USPS should be able to answer a straightforward factual question.

Commissioner Apana opposed the motion, stating that the Commission already voted to pursue an audit and that the auditor should gather this information to

maintain neutrality. Commissioner Papalimu countered that the auditor may decline or take months, and the Commission should still seek basic information now, especially since prior reports did not contact USPS. She added that the county may not have provided receipts, and this request could help regardless of the audit outcome.

Chair Curtis noted that only the County of Hawaii, as the account holder, has standing to obtain USPS Business Reply Mail records. CEO Nago confirmed that USPS will only provide records to the account holder, not to the Commission or the Office of Elections.

Some Commissioners argued that the Commission should still send a letter, even if USPS declines, to demonstrate due diligence. Commissioners Kamm and Sabas expressed support for making the request, and members discussed who would draft the letter. The Chair indicated the inquiry would be limited to requesting USPS mail-receipt documentation for the 2024 election.

Commissioner Cushnie moved to send a letter from the Elections Commission to the USPS Inspector General asking them how many ballot envelopes were delivered to the County of Hawaii during the 2024 General Election broken out by how many ballots were returned by voters versus how many simply were undeliverable or returned to sender. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kamm and **carried**. [1:00 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Apana, Osterkamp, Curtis

Commissioner Cushnie explained that BallotTrax logs every USPS scan and could help reconcile discrepancies between reported ballot counts and physical envelopes. He asked that CEO Nago work with Hart InterCivic, the BallotTrax contractor, to obtain these tracking records for the Commission. Commissioners discussed whether BallotTrax captures data for all ballots or only for voters who opt in, and CEO Nago clarified that full tracking data may not be available for non-enrolled voters.

Despite the limitations, Commissioners agreed the records could still help improve accountability and provide additional insight while audits are pending. Commissioner Cushnie stressed the importance of pursuing every avenue for verification. Commissioner Papalimu noted that BallotTrax likely tracks all ballots even if it only sends notifications to those who sign up.

Commissioner Cushnie moved that the Elections Commission formally direct the Chief Election Officer to produce the full BallotTrax tracking logs from Hart

InterCivic for all counties for the 2024 General Election before the next meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Andrion and **carried**. [1:11 PM]

YES: Andrion, Apana, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Osterkamp, Curtis

Commissioners Andrion and Sabas expressed concern that sending the reports to the governor would be premature, noting that multiple audits and reviews are already underway and should be allowed to proceed before escalating the matter. Commissioner Andrion supported transparency in principle but questioned whether involving the governor now would be productive. Commissioner Sabas agreed, saying the Commission should wait for audit findings.

Commissioner Cushnie maintained that the reports should still be formally transmitted, so the governor is officially notified of outstanding issues. Commissioner Andrion asked whether the motion required the governor to take action or simply receive the documents, seeking clarity on its scope. Commissioner Papalimu opposed the motion, arguing that the Commission should first handle its own responsibilities and that involving outside entities before establishing the facts would not be useful.

Commissioner Kamm moved that the Elections Commission transmit a formal report to the Governor including the findings of the Permitted Interaction Groups, the Commission's October 1st audit motion, the Chair's letter to the Legislature, and Speaker Namakura's deferral. The report will include a request for an executive branch review of ballot accountability and assistance in securing full compliance with election laws. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Cushnie and **failed** unanimously. [1:27 PM]

Commissioners discussed forming a Permitted Interaction Group (PIG) to work with County Clerks on improving chain-of-custody procedures, documentation, and ballot-tracking workflows. Commissioner Andrion and others said the group could address both past reporting gaps and future standards, including the need for consistent, paper-based logs.

Kamm supported creating the PIG as a practical way to understand county processes, develop clearer procedures, and strengthen public confidence, noting that independent verification is difficult without uniform records. Several Commissioners agreed that collaboration could help resolve recurring discrepancies.

Commissioner Papalimu opposed the proposal, arguing that the Chief Election Officer is already responsible for enforcing these requirements and that a PIG might shift accountability. The Commissioners continued discussing the PIG's scope, membership, and whether to finalize details before voting.

Commissioner Andrion moved to form a Permitted Interaction Group to work with the County Clerks regarding chain of custody and daily reports. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Papalimu and **carried**. [1:34 PM]

YES: Andrion, Apana, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Osterkamp, Curtis

- V. Suit filed in U.S. District Court, District of Hawaii: Bernegger v. Nago, 1:25-CV-00482-JAO-RT [2:02 PM]

Commissioners debated whether the Deputy Attorney General's written opinion should remain confidential. Some members argued that attorney-client privilege was already compromised because the opinion was shared with the Office of Elections rather than only with the Commission. Others said transparency was important and that the public should see the opinion.

The Deputy Attorney General advised against releasing it because the opinion relates to ongoing litigation involving the state. Some Commissioners felt they needed confidential guidance to understand the lawsuit, while others believed the Commission never chose to assert privilege and should not withhold the document.

Commissioners also questioned who the client is in this situation. Since the lawsuit names the Chair and the Chief Elections Officer, several Commissioners noted that the Commission may not have standing to assert privilege. Concerns were raised about conflicts of interest and whether the Commission should consider obtaining separate legal counsel.

Commissioner Cushnie moved to assert attorney client privilege. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Andrion and **carried**. [2:46 PM]

YES: Andrion, Kamm, Osterkamp, Sabas, Curtis

NO: Cushnie

ABSTAIN: Apana, Papalimu

VI. Communications and correspondence, received for the record [3:20 PM]

Janet Mason provided testimony urging the Commission to pursue formal state audits rather than relying on United States Postal Service data, which she argued is unreliable, unauthorized, and not valid election information.

Austin Martin provided testimony alleging that the Commission has ignored election-related complaints, asserting widespread corruption and obstruction, and urging action and accountability for what he believes are serious violations.

Tara Rojas provided testimony asserting that the Commission has repeatedly failed to acknowledge or act on public complaints, calling this a serious breach of process and public trust and urging the Commission to formally acknowledge, review, and transparently address all pending complaints.

Laura Nakanelua provided testimony asserting that the Attorney General's office should represent the Elections Commission rather than defend the Chief Elections Officer and said she plans to gather more information to share with the Commission.

A testifier identified only as "iPhone" provided testimony alleging loss of public trust, calling for Commissioner Curtis and CEO Nago to step down during pending litigation, arguing that the Attorney General should not defend them due to conflict of interest, and criticizing the AG's lack of intervention in Sunshine Law concerns.

Junya Nakoa provided testimony expressing frustration with Commissioner infighting, urging the Attorney General to properly guide the Commission, calling for officials facing litigation to obtain their own attorneys, and urging the Commission to focus on election oversight instead of internal conflict.

Michelle Stefanik provided testimony urging the Commission to address and act on formal complaints, expressing concern that Chair Curtis and CEO Nago are named in a lawsuit, and arguing that officials named individually should pay for their own legal defense rather than rely on the Attorney General.

Andrew Aker provided testimony urging the Chair, the Chief Elections Officer, and the Deputy Attorney General to obtain their own legal counsel, expressing agreement with earlier speakers about accountability, and stating that they will be pursued in court.

Jamie Detwiler provided testimony criticizing the Office of Elections for denying her complaint on a 90-day deadline despite taking 17 months to respond to her

earlier correspondence, arguing that the agency is acting hypocritically and out of compliance with the law.

Jennifer Hunt provided testimony that her formal complaint about chain-of-custody issues was misfiled as a request for information, which she said caused it to be overlooked and contributed to public suspicion.

Jennifer Cabjuan provided testimony stating that she wants confirmation that her correspondence is read by the entire Commission rather than filtered only through the Chair.

Commissioners discussed how the Commission should handle Sunshine Law appeals from the Office of Information Practices. Commissioner Cushnie argued that responses must be approved by a majority of the Commission and that handling them through the Attorney General's office without sharing the communications lacks transparency. He said the Commission should vote on each response before it is sent.

The Deputy Attorney General explained that state agencies typically delegate these responses to the Attorney General due to short deadlines and logistical constraints. He said his office can prepare the responses on behalf of the Commission and share copies, but a vote is not legally required.

Several Commissioners said they were unaware of past OIP complaints and supported greater visibility. Others raised concerns that requiring votes on each response could slow the process and complicate meeting agendas. The discussion ended with differing views on whether the Commission or the Attorney General should manage the responses.

Commissioner Cushnie moved that the Elections Commission follow the law under HAR 2-73 by ensuring that all required responses to OIP Sunshine Law appeals are prepared and submitted by majority vote of the Commission. The motion was further amended to apply only to the four OIP Notice of Appeal of Sunshine Law Complaints listed under Agenda Item VI of the December 3, 2025 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Andrion and **carried**. [4:00 PM]

YES: Andrion, Apana, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Osterkamp

ABSTAIN: Curtis

Commissioner Andrion moved to amend the motion, that the Elections Commission follow the law under HAR 2-73 by ensuring that all required responses to OIP Sunshine Law appeals are prepared and submitted by majority vote of the Commission, to apply only to the four OIP Notice of Appeal of Sunshine Law Complaints listed under Agenda Item VI of the December 3, 2025 meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Cushnie and **carried**. [4:35 PM]

YES: Andrion, Apana, Cushnie, Kamm, Papalimu, Sabas

NO: Osterkamp

ABSTAIN: Curtis

Commissioners discussed the procedure for a vote of no confidence and the potential removal of the Chair. Members asked how the transition would work, including whether the current Chair would continue to preside until a replacement is selected and whether a temporary Chair would need to be elected. The Deputy Attorney General was asked to clarify the applicable process.

Some Commissioners expressed concerns about initiating the motion when it was clear there were not enough votes to remove the Chair. They said such motions prolonged meetings and created unnecessary tension. Others stressed that a leadership change could improve efficiency and accountability.

The Chair acknowledged the discussion and noted that any replacement would require majority support. The conversation concluded with differing views on whether the motion was productive and whether new leadership was warranted.

Commissioner Cushnie moved to remove the Elections Commission Chair. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Andrion and **failed**. [4:38 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Papalimu

NO: Apana, Osterkamp, Sabas, Curtis

ABSTAIN: Kamm

VII. Formal complaints [4:43 PM]

a) ECC-25-011

b) ECC-25-012

Public testimony for Agenda Item VI and VII were taken together.

Commissioners considered a motion to formally request documentation from the Chief Election Officer to independently validate the 2024 election results.

Supporters said the Commission still lacks essential records, including chain of custody materials and county-level logs, which are required for its oversight duties under HRS §11-8.5. They stressed that repeated attempts to obtain clear information have been unsuccessful and that a formal request is necessary.

Other Commissioners agreed the documentation is important but noted that many records are held by the counties, not the Chief Election Officer. They felt the investigative PIG is better positioned to gather the material because it has broader authority, including the ability to subpoena records. They preferred allowing the PIG to continue its work rather than submitting another request that may not yield results.

Members also discussed past delays in completing required election review reports and raised concerns about gaps in leadership and statutory compliance. Regardless of their vote, Commissioners agreed that improved access to election records and clearer processes are needed for the Commission to meet its responsibilities.

Commissioner Cushnie moved that the Elections Commission formally request the Chief Election Officer to provide any and all documentation that can be used to independently validate the results of the 2024 General Election, including any chain of custody records, county-level logs, reconciliation forms, and other materials necessary for the Commission to fulfill its duties under HRS §11-8.5. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Kamm and **failed**. [4:43 PM]

YES: Andrion, Cushnie, Papalimu

NO: Apana, Kamm, Osterkamp, Sabas, Curtis

VIII. Adjournment [5:08 PM]

Chair Curtis adjourned the meeting at 5:08 PM.

Respectfully submitted,



Nicole Noel
Elections Commission Secretary